+ + + + +

A NATIONAL DIALOGUE: THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION'S COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF HIGHER EDUCATION

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2006

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

The Commission met at 9:00 a.m. in the Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1113 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, Rick Stephens, Acting Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

RICHARD (RICK) STEPHENS, Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Administration, The Boeing Company

RICHARD VEDDER, Distinguished Professor of Economics, Ohio University; Adjunct Scholar, American Enterprise Institute

JAMES DUDERSTADT, President Emeritus, Professor of Science and Engineering, Director, The Millennium Project, University of Michigan

BOB MENDENHALL, President of Western Governors University

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER, President and CEO, Hispanic Scholarship Fund

VICKIE SCHRAY, Acting Designated Federal Official

A-G-E-N-D-A

Welcome and Introductions
Remarks by Sam Smith
Remarks by Pam Tate2
Remarks by Charles Mitchell3
Remarks by David Conley5
Remarks by Mark A. Emmert6
Remarks by Andrew Menter8
Remarks by Richard Anderson10
Remarks by Pam Silas11
Break for Lunch
Public Testimony13

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Well, good morning ladies and gentlemen. On behalf of the Secretary and the Department of Education and the Commissioners on the future of higher education of America, I would like to welcome you to this public hearing that we have today.

My name is Rick Stephens. I'll be the acting chair today and will be helping facilitate a number of discussions.

As many of you are aware in September the Secretary of Education, Margaret Spellings, announced the establishment of a National Dialogue about the future of higher education in America. Its charge is to ensure that America's system of higher education remains the finest in the world and continues to meet the needs of America's diverse population by expanding opportunity, innovation, and economic growth.

composed of approximately 20 representatives from both public and private sectors, as well as a number of ex-officio members from the Department of Education and other federal agencies, the Commission is focused on addressing a number of vital questions such as: How can we ensure that college is affordable and accessible and how well our institutions of higher education are preparing our

students to compete in the new global economy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Commission is on task of completing its report by the first of August of this year to be able to submit to the Secretary with our recommendations, our observations, so the Secretary can take appropriate action from her approach as leading the Department of Education.

We have two major elements of our activity today in this public hearing. This morning we will spend time with some prepared testimonies hearing from a number of experts in particular areas. This afternoon, after lunch, we will then opportunity for members of the community at large to speak for a few minutes on their key thoughts and ideas that will be important for the Commission to be able to hear as we consider how we press forward.

What I would like to do right now is ask each of the Commissioners to just give you a little bit of background so you have a sense of who we are and where we are from as we press forward. So Rich, can you maybe give a short introduction and press on down from there.

RICHARD VEDDER: Yes. My name is Richard Vedder. I'm a Professor of Economics at Ohio University in Athens, Ohio, and I'm also an adjunct fellow at the American Enterprise Institute and have

been doing some research and writing on the economics of higher education.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: I'm Jim Duderstadt, Professor of Science and Engineering, past president of University of Michigan. As I flew out yesterday on the plane from Detroit to Seattle with a lot of long faces that reminds of me of how I used to feel after playing UW at the Rose Bowl.

BOB MENDENHALL: I'm Bob Mendenhall,

President of Western Governor's University, which is a

private non-profit on-line university that grants

degrees based on demonstrated competency.

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: And I'm Sara Martinez, President of the Hispanic Scholarship Fund, one of the nation's largest organizations promoting and supporting Hispanic higher education. We're privately funded. We do work in two general areas, working with families to ensure that we strengthen the pipeline of Hispanics graduating from high school, college ready, wanting to go to college; and then the retention rate of Hispanics enrolled in college.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you, Sara. And, again my name is Rick Stephens. I'm the Senior Vice President for Human Resources and Administration for the Boeing Company. Just to give you some sense of perspective from Boeing, we receive about two million

job applications every year. And so making sure we have the people that meet our needs long-term trying to continue our base of 153,000 employees. So I bring the industry perspective in our representation here today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Vickie, can you give us the run down on the game plan, how it is going to work today?

VICKIE SCHRAY: I'm Vickie Schray, Deputy Director with the Commission.

The format for today's public hearing, this morning we have invited a number of panelists, experts from the Northwest who can speak to some of key issues facing this Commission. Their presentations will run approximately ten allowing ten minutes for 0 and from the Commissioners.

The afternoon we have invited the public-at-large to come forward to both preregister and register on site to offer testimony. Depending on the number of individuals that have expressed interest in testifying, their remarks will last between three and five minutes. And the format will be that of a Congressional Hearing with the lights indicating when their time is completed.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: So we have, as Vickie said, we have a number of speakers this morning. I

would ask that as each of the speakers approach the podium and take your place, if you would give us a little bit of background about who you are so we have that perspective for not only the Commissioners, but also the audience. And we would also ask that for those of you who presented testimony, we do have the testimony here. We have that in the record. So I think the key challenge is helping some understand the background of perspective that you have, the basis for that background, and then help with the us recommendations that you have so we're able to focus our discussion around those elements that are really important as we press forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So with that, without any further ado, Sam, if you would please approach the podium and help us out and give us your perspective.

SAM SMITH: Thank you very much. I'll try to stay within the ten minute guidelines. Anyone who has taught knows that most academics takes me ten minutes just to clear my throat. I'll try to clear it quickly. Okay.

I'm Sam Smith. I spent about fifteen years as President of Washington State University. And for the last number of years I have been involved in what I would call "New Models" of higher education institutions or related models of higher education

institutions. Currently involved with Western Governor's University, a group that was involved with Bob Crave's leadership in establishing Washington Education Foundation and I chair the board of Talaris organization and then I have some other projects.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

But basically, I thank you for opportunity to be here today and make some general comments. Ι spent about 40 years within the university system, but I spent about four years working with a group called the National Kellogg Commission on the Future of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges, something Jim is very familiar A group of four of us spent some time in with. Kellogg.

for universities The environment and colleges in the country is changing very dramatically. How are universities adapting? What are they doing to meet the new challenges and new opportunities? we decided to do something a little differently. is, we went around the United States and we saw which and chancellors were actually presidents doing something to improve their universities and which ones were just talking about it. And we selected 25 that were actually making creative changes. We spent four years, if you haven't seen the reports about how the university changing involvement, I suggest you look at

the National Association State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges website.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And actually if you look, particularly tomorrow on Wednesday, you'll find that we're just five-year follow-up report releasing а what actually was accomplished, what came out of Commission itself. There are ten written reports of our initial studies, but you'll find that the report that we just released was, did we have an affect? want to find out. There is a term we use out here in the Northwest, I don't know if it is filtered around, but we want to make sure our reports are not something called "shelf art", something that is very nicely decorative.

Now, one of the things talked about today, I'll limit my comments primarily to accessibility and affordability. There is no question in many of our minds that our higher education system as compared to other countries around the word is slipping. And we're particularly slipping along the point of view of educating our workforce, educating people in math and science, engineering, technology. You have all seen the various statistics about which country produces the most engineers, scientists, et cetera.

Also we are very concerned that those who study in this area, that we are not producing enough

graduates coming out of high school that have the capabilities of going into these fields in the first place. And so we're looking about how we can link more closely with the high schools and four-year institutions.

Are you familiar with the Early College High School concept? Okay. I know Ms. Tucker is. The Early College High School concept is something that is being pioneered, Jobs for the Future out of Boston is doing a lot of this. Also, the Gates Foundation is heavily involved. These are high schools, grade nine to grade 14, where you move through at your own pace. You graduate, technically you can graduate with both a high school credential and AA degree. It is a new model that is doing very, very well.

One of the concerns that we have right now, in general, is on the affordability. We just had a meeting recently with a group from the federal financial aid organization in Washington DC, and they confirmed the data that we have from several other sources, that your probability of attending a four-year institution in the United States today is directly proportional to your family's income. This is a problem, I think, that is perhaps understated or under-recognized. I'm not too concerned as I say, we

have been studying universities. We know the universities are going to be involved and they're going to be all right. They're going to have enough customers, and I'm using that term specifically.

But what we're seeing is that a whole segment of our gene pool being ignored and not being made available to attend college. Ms. Tucker was talking about a Hispanic side. Whether it is Hispanic, whether, whatever ethnic group, whatever economic group. We see that there is a major need right now for the federal government to come perhaps with some new ideas. And Ι have suggestion I will make in a few moments.

Because what we're seeing right now where the children of the young, excuse me, children of the wealthy, have a greater probability to attend college. What we're seeing, we have some major needs in our country competitively. These really parallel many of the conditions that existed in the 1860's in this country, and that is where we were trying to compete as a nation in an agricultural economy at the time. The federal government, under the Morrill Act, and this is a 1862 Act signed by President Lincoln, quote:

"Want to assure that education would be available to all social classes."

Another quote: "The genius of the Morrill

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Act was two-fold, in accord with its governing principles: The equality of opportunity and the utility of knowledge were equal." To put this in today's terminology, they wanted to use all of the intellectual capabilities to make sure that our nation was successful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Now, what we're seeing today, now Morrill Act, they link land obviously with agriculture because it is a very logical association. The federal government made available large tracts of land to each state if they were willing to put up a school to teach people, particularly in the rural communities. like the State of Washington, we matched those lands from the state end. And also the State put additional lands to make sure that not only the Land-Grant schools would receive money but also such University of Washington, the regional as institutions, the community college, and K through 12.

One of the things I would suggest is that we're probably ready again for another bold action, and not just some tinkering around the edges. The federal government has done a wonderful job as far as trying to work with student financial aid and others, but it is not enough. I mean, we thank them. We love them. That is great. What would be the possibility of a new act, something associated with math and science?

Perhaps some value as far as intellectual property. Some of the suggestions that have been made right now for example, if we would some percentage, federal government would take some percentage of say, band width, make it available to Some intellectual property rights which the government would share with the states.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We have also had suggestions of water rights; also suggestions of mineral rights. Are there equivalents to the end and those of you that are in the business sector have much greater knowledge on this than I would ever have. But is there something logically associated with science, technology, engineering, that we could have the equivalent again a Morrill Act but have it on the basis intellectual property, something that would give us the ability to compete in the new world where we have a knowledge-based economy.

in watching these things over the there is another major change that has occurred, and I'll just comment on this briefly. we're seeing right now, and I speak primarily in this case for the State of Washington. What we're seeing is the private sector is stepping in very strongly and helping work with the state to make sure we have some opportunities, some new approaches

education that can help us meet these problems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The one group that does not seem to be in as much as perhaps we would like to see is the federal government. Let me give you about two or three Western examples. Governors University, Mr. Mendenhall is president of and doing wonderful job This was put together by a group of governors, but the private sector came into it very, strongly; and frankly right now, I think, Bob, I largest believe we have the number of students studying to be teachers of science and technology in the high schools. But the private sector coming in with the public sector. It works.

In this State we also see it from the point of view of the Washington Education Foundation. We have one of the largest scholarship programs now in the country. A little help from the government, not much, but mainly from the Gates Foundation and other organizations. We have some 3,000 students on scholarship right now, one of the largest scholarship programs in the country, I believe, right now.

If there were some way we could have an equivalent Morrill Act, some commodity, something put in of value that could be matched by the states and then work jointly with them to bring in the private sector, I think we could do again what we did in the

100 years ago, 100 plus years ago, and increase the capacity of the universities to serve.

Our universities today are working very, very strongly with very limited resources. They have developed new business models, but if we're going to provide the education we need for this next century, this century, we have to have a bold move and not just a small movement. You're all leaders. I know you are good at it. Mr. Stephens, I know your company has been very useful in this.

One of the other things I am involved in is Talaris, and I want to thank you for the work that Boeing did just a couple of weeks ago and we signed a Memorandum of Understanding between the private sector and the Governor of the State of Washington on Early Learning.

I think we have an opportunity today for bold move, Morrill Act type approach coupled with the private sector. I think we could do some wonderful things.

I'll close my comments at that point and the way I have got it down, I had nine minutes. Did I do all right, Vickie? Nine minutes, was that all right? Any questions?

JAMES DUDERSTADT: The idea kind of Land-Grant Act of the 21st century, which was one of

the themes Kellogg Commission was very appealing. One of the interesting things about the Land-Grant Act of the 19th century is it created a new type of institution, one that was committed to serving the working class, assisting agriculture, industrial development. Do you see new kinds of institutions coming out of a 21st century paradigm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SAM SMITH: Yes, very definitely. I'm use a Hispanic word just for a second going to (Spanish, unintelligible), for example in this state we have Heritage University, just became a university, and is primarily aimed working (Spanish, at unintelligible). Working as a new type of institution, it is primarily privately based funded right now, some state funding, a little federal. What I'm seeing right now, rather than have the private sector funding as an add on, is to have it as part of the income strain linked with a state, linked with federal government, and then linked with what the student can Yes, I see a new institution, but institution actually once again aimed at making sure all individuals can have an opportunity for education, which we do not have today.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Rich.

RICHARD VEDDER: Thank you for a marvelous statement. President Duderstadt indicated I am

intrigued by this new Land-Grant idea. I think we're trying to come up with some bold thoughts and I think it is one.

My concern is one, to pick up on Jim's last comment about new paradigms and new ways of doing The costs of higher education have been rising substantially. And if we just drop more money out of airplanes over the system with good intentions to help low income people, we're not going to solve the problem. Let me just pick up on one little thing you said at the beginning here talking, tied into something that Charles Reed, President of the --head of the Cal State system said Saturday in terms of new paradigm, he said quote, and I'm quoting him: The senior year is a vast waste land, referring to high school. Should we be doing -- colleges be going into high schools and teaching in high schools. Should we be doing more on-line things with kids who are bright at the junior, senior and high school, should we be encouraging those students more to attend universities early in a way to compress the education for some students, not all, but for some, in order to achieve some financial savings that would allow us to help finance some of the things that you were talking about.

SAM SMITH: The divide between high school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and college is, pardon the expression, is a foolish device. The more that we look at institutions that are a combination of high school and university or college and the more we can use the technology to bridge that, I think the better off-- the new business models that we're looking at right now in working with the state, really involves a bridging with the high school and also involves the student being able to move at their own pace.

And I recommend, the reason we are looking at these early college high schools, and I know Bob, there are four or five, I believe, in the State of Utah. There is about 170-plus that will be up and running by 2008 somewhere in the country, is a new model of institution right now and we have, for example, with our community college system, we have a very strong community college system in this state.

We have -- number would love to see a series of blended schools in some way tied with them. Maybe starting at eighth grade, ninth grade and build a new type of institution much as John Hopkins did a century or two ago, much as Stanford did a century or so ago. I think we can build some new models, and there are new models out there. I would be happy to provide the Committee with a -- there is a couple of charts a number of us use showing what a business

model was for a university 20 years ago, what it is today. And you look at that and there is about 15 comparatives that we use. And if you look at those, it is very illogical to look at blending and tying together into the K through 12th system. It is a foolish device.

BOB MENDENHALL: One of the concerns with adding additional dollars to the system is the rapidly spiraling and escalating tuition cost. As president for a long time of a major university, what, if anything, do you think can be done about increases in tuition that are twice the rate of inflation? Is there something in connection with a major act to provide more money that could also be recommended that would keep costs down?

SAM SMITH: First of all, I can think of several flip phrases and I will not respond that way. But I'm also involved as a member of the higher ed coordinating board for the state. One of the things we're trying to do right now is to put some, I know this is not a popular term, some specific goals or outcomes for the institutions. You cannot run Boeing and say that, you know, we don't have a product. One of the things we have selected as the outcome base being, in this case, bachelor degrees, associate degrees, or certificates. You know, we're willing to

recommend additional funding if you, as an institution, are willing to do the following things. And at this point, if you say that additional money will be available if you do a specific thing, this fits the current model of universities. Because many things right now are a contract kind of basis. are called performance contracts. Because I know if we had gone to Jim and his job and said, we would give you X number of dollars if you produced so many more, they would figure out a way to do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Throwing money at the problem will not solve it. Setting a series of outcomes such as a number of graduates -- last time I saw universities, one of the few organizations that spent a lot of time describing the incoming student, but very seldom described the graduate. And since we have supposed to be value added, let's describe the graduate. Put those down as the product. And there's a dozen ways of doing that and you are much better at it than I am.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Any comments? Sam, really appreciate your comments this morning. I think we would like to take advantage of the models and maps that you mentioned. If you could provide those to me at the break and we'll get them out to all of the Commission members. And thank you very much and also thank you very much for staying on time.

See, next we have speaker Pam Tate, the President of The Council of Adult and Experimental Learning, Pam.

PAM TATE: Good morning. And it is a pleasure to be here. Thank you for the invitation. The organization is called The Council for Adult and Experiential Learning and I'm its president and CEO. And we're a national, non-profit organization that serves as an intermediary between and among higher education, employers, and government and our mission is to remove barriers to lifelong learning for adults. And the way we do that is to work with colleges and universities to make their programs more accessible to working adults in collaboration with employers.

And we administer employer-funded tuition assistance programs that serve probably half a million adults annually nationwide. And we also test new public policy strategies for making lifelong learning more accessible to adults.

So we are -- our comments today are based on directly serving thousands of adults each year and working with hundreds of colleges and universities nationwide that have adults as a key part of their mission. So we feel that we're describing the adult issue from a first-hand, on-the-ground level experience.

Now, other presenters have shared their statistics with you about why adult learners have to receive the Commission's attention and I have written a lot of them in my testimony, but I will just mention First, I think you know that 45 two major reasons. percent of undergraduate students on our campuses today are adult learners. And this is not a small This is nearly half. And most of them are number. working and very few can go to school full time. so a lot of the issues I'm going to talk about and recommendations have to do with that fact. They have central part of the Commission's got to be а deliberations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The other thing I want to mention is, maybe some statistics you have heard, but let me just repeat them. Estimates are that fifteen million U.S. jobs that require a college education will be created 2020. unfortunately by And based on current educational attainment rates, there is only going to be a net gain of probably three million new workers with college credentials. meet the skill So to demands of companies across the country we cannot just focus on K through 12 or the pipeline of traditional aged college students to meet these needs. There will not be enough of them. We have to make it a strategic priority to educate a very large number of adults in the workforce who have earned a high school degree but for one reason or another have not finished postsecondary education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So with those just quick introductory just turn right remarks me away to CAEL's recommendations for the Commission. And I won't cover all of them because of course there is not time so I'll just highlight a few. Like to address the affordability issue first. Our research conducted in 2004 showed that of the 7,000 employers in our national survey, only 35 percent offered some form of tuition assistance program. Many of them are smaller and mid-sized and do not have the generous tuition programs of a company like Boeing, example.

And we know that lack of funding is one of the three major obstacles to the participation of adult learners, so because financial assistance is so critical, believe that student aid must be we redesigned to support adult and lifelong learners. believe you know that financial aid resources are not available to adults going to school for less than half This is a serious problem. And we would advocate that the Commission strongly urge changes in federal student financial aid policies, to proceed financial aid to the less than half time learner.

1 A second area that we think is worth your 2 attention and, again, I won't cover them all, but we 3 believe that educational tax credits must be made more 4 accessible to working adults. An organization called 5 Future Works did research in 2004, and they found that 6 the HOPE Scholarship and the Lifetime Learning Tax Credit now are primarily benefitting families 7 claimed them on behalf of dependent students in 8 9 Ιf the percentage of qualified expenses college. allowed under the Lifetime Learning Tax Credit could 10 11 be -- if the percentage could be expanded, if the 12 definition of qualified expenses could include costs 13 such as childcare and transportation, if the HOPE and Lifetime Learning Tax Credits could be refundable so 14 15 that low income adults could claim the full credit, 16 even if it exceeds their tax liability, these kinds of 17 would make an enormous difference in changes 18 affordability for the adult learner.

And then the third area I want to recommend is a new one, perhaps new to many of you, and that is the concept of Lifelong Learning Accounts.

L/I/L/A or we call them LiLAs for short. CAEL advanced this idea to make higher education more affordable.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And the way that we have conceived of the idea is that this would be a matched saving account

between the employer and the employee. And a worker's investment in this case would be for dollar-for-dollar matched by the employer up to a specified amount per year. And our hope would be that the employer's contribution would be able to be eligible for a tax credit and the individual's contribution would be tax deductible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We have advanced this idea through some national demonstrations funded by 23 philanthropic funders and several government agencies, and we have advanced this in a number of states as well. these demonstrations under way. We have hundreds of workers employers involved adult and in demonstrations. And the U.S. Department of Labor as well as the Ford Foundation have been leading the way and advocating for this concept. We would urge the Commission to consider the creation of a federal demonstration of Lifelong Learning Accounts in which employers would receive a tax credit and individuals a tax deduction for Lifelong Learning Account.

We're considering at this time about a \$500.00 a year contribution by employers and a \$500.00 contribution by the individual into this account. This would support learning throughout one's life. It would also enable people to be engaged in education when they work for smaller and mid-size firms. And we

have tested this and found that the small and mid-size firms will invest at this level. So LiLAs are a new four states but being tried out in legislation is now being introduced in three other would advocate for major federal states. We initiative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And then let me jump quickly here to the recommendations we have regarding accessibility. There are eight, and I'm going to -- but I'm just going to mention two this morning. The rest, again, are in what I have submitted.

One important area is that non-credit and workforce training are important entry points for the adult learner into higher education. However, in most states, both at the community college and the university level, these institutions are operating under enrollment formulas that give support in a full credit way only for enrollment in the full credit programs.

There only a few states where institutions FTE credit generated for non-credit can get workforce learning. We would advocate that more states be encouraged to reimburse colleges for their workforce non-credit programs that are training And that, we think, would help increase related. for students to postsecondary

because our evidence is that they move on if they're successful in non-credit learning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A second area I want to mention, I think a couple of other speakers prior to today have as well, is we believe that the Commission should support the amendment of the 50 percent rule regarding on-line Right now that prevents institutions that learning. specialize in on-line learning from participating in federal financial aid. And we would hope that you would advocate for the easing of this rule which would encourage the growth of on-line and blended courses, and we believe would open access to thousands of students who can higher education enter on-line learning.

And the last one I'll mention on the incentive front for access, has to do with changing funding formulas to encourage higher education to provide better student support systems. Now this is often not as much paid attention to, but we know that colleges that serve large numbers of adults are really disadvantaged by the way that the formula is worked today because they are -- the formulas are FTE based, not head count based. So the larger number of adult students an institution has, the less likely it is to have appropriate levels of student support personnel. And we know that adult learners need the student

services personnel in some ways and many cases more than traditional students do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I do want to mention one other idea that I think would really help in increasing access. And it has to do with launching what we are calling a social marketing campaign to engage business and industry. We have leading employers like the Boeings and Verizons of the world who are already employers of choice and understand the importance of the investing in higher education.

But for the most part, these ideas still have not penetrated the mid-sized and smaller firms. So we would like to see some kind of a national social marketing campaign, perhaps jointly initiated by the Departments of Labor and Education, which would show employers the bottom line benefits of investing in tuition assistance programs, Lifelong Learning Account programs, and other ways to advocate for their workforce to return to higher education.

Last area that -- I just want to make one comment on if I have time, is the accountability area. An important way, we think, to increase accountability in the area of adult achievement is to conduct a state-by-state comparison of how states are doing with regard to their adult learners attainment. I know that all of you know

1 about Measuring Up, the state-by-state report card. 2 We're 3 4 5 6

suggesting that a state-by-state comparison should also be available for measuring how states are meeting the needs of adult learners. We and NCHEMS together are already working on the design of such a comparison, and we would hope that Commission would advocate for its use by states.

So let me just say in closing that one way we believe that the Commission could pursue several of these recommendations would be to consider the idea of the federal government giving incentive grants to states for implementing comprehensive plans related to better serving adult workers. In other words, some federal/state partnership to of а helping the states who are, after all, the biggest stakeholder in higher education other than federal student aid, so that they together could look at how higher education could be governed and financed so that better opportunities could be provided working adults.

Only Ι think with this kind of federal/state incentive and encouragement are we going to be able to change the fairly rigid structures that have existed for a long time to serve the adult learner.

So we hope that these recommendations and

26

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those in the memorandum I sent to you will be of some assistance and we would offer our help in crafting any follow up activities that might come out of the Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you very much.

Commissioners, some questions?

SARA TUCKER: Thanks for your comments and observations. And I couldn't help but resonate as I look my, the students that I serve, my age group of my scholarship recipients is all the way from 17 to 60, but it is in traditional education, and as I look at the Hispanic demographics, the 25 to 29-year-old, percentage of adults with a college degree is very different from the 25 and older general population. In the adult learners that you have supported through your work, and congratulations for getting the level of support you have, have you found a difference between adult learners who are retraining for better jobs or different jobs in industry and those that are making their way to education, higher education, for the first time in their lives?

I would say we see a very PAM TATE: Yes. different kind of support needed for people who are coming to education for the first time. And it is through special kinds of bridge transition and that those individuals be most

successful. A lot of them are lacking the very -- the most basic skills necessary for success in college; whereas those who are returning for retraining, at least have a level of skill and knowledge that they

can bring to the table.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The other thing that we notice difference is that the -- those that are coming back for retraining, often with the support of employer, many times have extensive training behind them that might be equivalent to a college credit experience or course; and, therefore, they could submit that, that area of competence or knowledge for college credit, for consideration for college credit by the institution. Whereas people who have never been and are returning literally from no background in education at all or who have been unemployed or on welfare, public housing residents, many of those that we serve will have less of what I would consider college level learning to bring to the table. think that they need different kinds of support and if they haven't had that more support prior experience.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Jim, go ahead.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: I think your experience and your efforts are extremely important and you highlighted a number of actions that I think could be

recommended that could assist that. But let me ask you to think a little bit more broadly. One of the things our Commission has been challenged to do is come up with very bold recommendations that really drive change in the paradigm.

Consistent with President Smith's previous testimony, one idea is to kind of recast an analog to the Land-Grant Act for the 21st century as proposing, in fact, a national commitment to universal access to lifelong learning opportunities, okay, ubiquius in one's life, that really would mean that from cradle to grave one would be involved in learning at various different levels, which of course will be required by a global knowledge driven economy. Now that, of course, would require a very significant change in higher education.

I think that the kind of partnerships between public and private sectors would have to be revised somewhat. It might even require a commitment more comparable to Social Security, in which it requires mandatory commitments to building these education accounts, but in return for that people have an ownership in that and might be more inclined to pursue it.

So, I guess, you know, both -- any reaction you might have today but over the longer term

any bolder thinking about how would this nation provide the opportunity for all of our citizens to have as a civil right, not a privilege but a right, access to lifelong learning opportunities?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PAM TATE: You know, there are a number of what I would call bold recommendations that have been made in this area that I would be glad to share with you. One that a number of us are considering is the idea of creating a Lifelong Learning Account for all citizens at the time of birth and that it would be a joint savings by -- it could be invested in by the family, the person, the government, employers, and could be used throughout one's life to finance postsecondary education.

But I think one of the reasons we have recommended the introduction of a more incremental step, the Lifelong Learning Account matched by the employer, is that we have, I quess we have been somewhat daunted by the budget, by the budget deliberations we have seen and the budget reductions we have seen on domestic programs. And so we thought that probably the time for introducing such an idea may not be now. It may be that what we have to do is to work our way toward that kind of idea. But I would be very excited to see the Commission take this on in a much broader way than I have even suggested today.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: An old saying when the going gets tough, the tough get going. And there may be no better time to explore bold approaches and any input you folks could provide would be of enormous

assistance.

PAM TATE: We would be glad to do that.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Rich, go ahead.

RICHARD VEDDER: I just want say I echo Jim's comments. One, I think we should as a Commission come in with bold suggestions, and I also very strongly support the idea of increasing our commitment to lifelong learning.

At the same time, however, and Pam you eluded to this in your remarks, there has to be an element of realism in what we suggest. And in searching between boldness, we also want to find something that is attainable.

And going back to President Smith's comment, too, I think we have to find new paradigms, new ways to reduce cost, maybe to traditional learning as it is today, in order to, in effect, provide the resources to help expand to new horizons and including accessibility to traditional students at the 18 to 22 level, but also to lifelong learning.

PAM TATE: Just one last comment about that. I think what is really interesting is that if

we look at quote, traditional students between 18 and 22 on most of our campuses, they're not traditional. They are working. They have families. Increasing numbers of them look like adult learners. They have the same issues. So by doing what you're suggesting, by shifting resources into on-line programs, on-site programs whole variety of public/private partnerships we might not just be serving the quote, over 25 person, we may be serving 18 to 22-year-olds on many of our campuses who cannot participate in the way we did when we went to higher education.

BOB MENDENHALL: You mentioned obstacles to adult participation in higher eduction of funding. You mentioned one thing was lack of funding. What were the other two?

PAM TATE: There are three major ones. Lack of time, you know, people just not being able to manage multiple demands; lack of money; and lack of information about what their options are. And it is one of the reasons that we advocate strongly for, and one of our bold recommendations would have to do with career counseling system that would be made available for people prior to their entering higher education. We have counseling offices, but only after you have chosen where you're going to go to school.

And many adults that we encounter in the

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

workplace don't have fundamental information about what their choices might be. They only see what is advertised in the newspapers, and often advertised are institutions that are at a higher cost and may not be their best choice. Whereas, for example, community colleges that might have options their first for them in two years don't advertise. So I think a counseling system that would be put in place would be a tremendous benefit to overcoming this lack of information problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Follow up questions?

BOB MENDENHALL: You mentioned the problem of financial aid not being available for adults going less than half time. For adults going more than half time are there other financial challenges or is financial aid adequate for what they're facing?

PAM TATE: No. I don't think that -- even with financial aid, I still think that we see if someone is working in a company that does not provide tuition assistance, financial aid -- financial challenges are serious. And the lower income a person is, the lower down on the pay scale they are, the more that financial issues are -- keep them from going to school.

One thing that we have seen really changes that paradigm is when the tuition is provided up front

by the employer. Ιf they do provide tuition assistance. If they support the idea of a letter of credit or voucher being taken to the college or university, rather than expecting the person to pay the money out and wait to get it back. I know Boeing has such a system of prepaid tuition but a very small number of employers do. And we really believe that that could alleviate the financial -- some of the financial obstacles as well as some of the other recommendations I have made about the creation of accounts. But no, that is just one thing. Financial 11 aid, making financial aid available is just one piece 13 of the puzzle.

> CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Pamela, thank you very much. It is clear a lot of the questions from the Commission's standpoint now really draw around the whole cost equation, trying to get cost down as well as trying to be able to make sure there is enough aid out for students to participate.

> Thanks very much for your testimony and we appreciate the support.

> > PAM TATE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Next on the agenda we have Charles Mitchell who is the Chancellor of the Seattle Community College District. Charles, welcome.

> CHARLES MITCHELL: Thank you. As

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mentioned, my name is Charles Mitchell. I'm Chancellor of the Seattle Community Colleges and I'm very pleased to be here to appear before Commission. And I'm kind of representing the American Association of Community Colleges as well as the community and technical colleges in the State of Washington. Little of my background, I have been -- I was president of Seattle Central, one of our three colleges in the state, and I have been the chancellor for two years here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And I might add, James, I graduated from the University of Washington. I played on the football team, but not in the Rose Bowl where they beat Michigan, but where they beat, but we did beat a couple of them.

Before I provide some specific recommendations to the Commission, I would like to provide some background information about community colleges and their role in American higher education.

I'm sure many of you are familiar with these.

We have more than 1,150 community colleges in the United States including 22 Hispanic-serving institutions and 12 historically Black colleges and universities. Community colleges enroll about 46 percent of all U.S. graduate college students or almost seven million credit students each year.

Another five million students take non-credit courses,
43 percent of our students work full time when
enrolled, and the average age is about 29, although 50
percent of our students are 24 or younger. Almost
half of the students are members of the first of their
-- first member of their families to enroll in a
college.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Community colleges offer a broad array of programs and they range from traditional transfer to highly sophisticated technical training degrees, programs that prepare students for several areas. From high wage jobs to basic education and English as a second language courses an increasing number of our students are reverse transfer and these are people degree that have completed their baccalaureate programs and then they decide to go back to a community college to pick up specific occupational skills.

Community colleges across the nation contribute significantly to the economic, cultural, and civic well-being of their local communities. And these contributions are particularly essential in our rural areas.

On behalf of the community college, I would like to offer some recommendations on a broad array of policy issues and these issues affect our

ability to provide a quality education experience and our students' ability to reach all of their educational and work goals. We remain convinced that investments in higher education will yield long-term benefits to our economy and society and promote equality of opportunity.

The first I would like to speak to is to give state and local government greater incentives to provide more stable funding for higher education. On average, community colleges receive 64 percent of their funding from state and local government. And this support is critical to community colleges' ability to maintain an open door for our students.

Unfortunately, experience has proven that postsecondary institutions tend to be the first victim of state budget short falls since they're usually considered more discretionary than other commitments. We support the federal government providing incentives to states to provide stable funding for community colleges so that we can keep our tuition low. And that is something that we want to do so that students can continue in our institutions. Higher education, as we all know, is a public good that needs to be supported by public investment.

The second area is to strengthen community colleges' ability to address the nation's workforce

needs through increased investment. Now, it is widely acknowledged that the nation, as a nation, we face a very severe skills gap. In the State of Washington, community colleges can compete to receive a higher level of funding to address critical workforce needs. However, greater investment by federal, state, and local government is required to help community colleges better meet the nation's workforce needs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Community colleges remain a primary and cost-effective answer to the nation's labor needs in fields as varied as nursing, teaching, construction, and lately the Home Land Security but only if the colleges are funded at a level that enables them to meet these needs.

President Bush's community-based doi training grant initiative is a positive development, and I'm pleased to report that two of our colleges in our state, and that is Columbia Basin College as well Seattle Community Colleges have received these grants in 2005. However, the funding level provided by the community-based training grant program isn't adequate, given that technical training is generally more expensive than your academic program. addition, more robust funding is needed for Work First, Workforce Investment Act, and regional economic development programs.

As I mentioned, community colleges enroll over half of all US undergraduates. Any national initiative to encourage a greater number of college students to enter science, math, foreign language, or other key fields must include a strong community college component to be successful. The recently enacted academic competitive grant should be revised to allow participation by more community college students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The third area is to provide enhanced oversight of the profit, of the for-profit higher education industry by enforcing federal oversight mechanisms. And I'm not putting all of these colleges in the same basket because we have great relationships with colleges such as the University of Phoenix, and they are helping our students achieve their academic goals. However, we are concerned about the reports of exploitive recruiting practices and mismanagement on the part of some for-profit postsecondary schools. And we support enforcement of existing federal law in this area.

The next area gives student strong incentives to upgrade skills through Lifelong But Pam did such a great job in covering Learning. that area and she had just great suggestions so I'm not even going to speak to that.

Next is to give five incentives to develop seamless articulation framework. Washington's technical community and colleges offer many articulation options to students interested in bachelor's degree, and they obtaining university centers. And this is where a student can get their junior and senior year at an institution that is located on the community college campus. bring in the four-year colleges those they on campuses. And they work very well for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The other thing that we're trying to do is make sure that our students are major ready when they come out of our community colleges, and so we're trying to get them to commit to a major earlier so they can start working on that right from the start as they go into the four-year college. And this is having great success.

Another program that was authorized by our legislature just this past, well, last year's session, was to allow four of our colleges, community colleges, to present a bachelor's degree in certain applied areas. And right now we're in competition among our community colleges as to who will get those four pilot programs.

Community colleges and many other states have also developed strong articulation agreements

with four-year institutions, but we still have a lot of work to do. And recommend that federal we provide and government funds to states higher education institutions to more fully develop articulation networks. Excuse me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Moving on. Our sixth recommendation is to recognize the community colleges' role in providing remedial or pre-college education. In the State of Washington, about half of the recent high school graduates must take remedial or pre-college courses to prepare for college level course work. In addition to high school remedial instruction, community colleges are at the forefront of efforts to provide adult basic education, high school completion, and English as a second language instruction.

We have tried several models to help this population of students. For instance one of our colleges, South Seattle Community College, we have a large immigrant student population. And to address the needs of these students, the college has developed innovative health care job training courses infuse ESL instruction into the curriculum. So we have our traditional instructor, but we also bring in an ESL person to help. But, of course as you know, that is kind of double cost. It is expensive to run those programs. These programs make a tremendous

difference to both individual students and community vitality by effectively addressing the needs of under prepared and under served students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And we also work closely with our high schools in this area. And one of the -- the federal programs that have really helped us is the GERA (phonetic) program and that is with a four-year colleges and two-year colleges as well as the high school.

area Another is to re-examine the subsidies provided through the federal loan programs and to use direct lending as a cost-saving strategy. Federal and state student aid policy for community colleges should focus in providing aid to students on the basis of documented financial need. And recommend that the federal subsidies provided through the major loan programs be re-examined. In addition, the federal lending programs should became income-sensitive both to help students and reduce the number of loan defaults.

We commend to the Commission the work of the project on student debt and we believe that the project is asking many of the right questions about the current structure of the major federal loan program. Often availability of need-based financial aid determines whether a disadvantaged student can

attend a college. And government policies should reflect -- should reflect the lending program's significant cost savings compared to the Federal Family Education Loan Program. Huge subsidies are being delivered to the lending communities that should be allocated to needy students.

Finally, to eliminate the federal tuition tax deduction and devote funds to more needed purposes and Pam spoke to this as well.

The college tuition deduction established in 2001 is primarily of benefit to taxpayers in the higher income bracket. In an environment of limited resources, giving well-off families a deduction for payments that in many instances they would pay anyway, cannot be justified. And American Association of Community Colleges does not support the costly and poorly-targeted tuition tax deduction, but it does endorse for families to save for college. An example of this, of course, Section 529 and that plan is growing in popularity and we welcome this trend.

So these are the recommendations I have. And again, I thank you for having the opportunity to appear before you.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Chancellor Mitchell, thank you. A few moments for questions. Who would like to start?

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: Thank you for your comments and work of the community college. I'm going to appeal to you wearing the hat of the American Association of Community Colleges. You described the federal initiatives and state initiatives in your recommendations. Two-part question. What role is the private sector playing today in the community colleges and the work that they do, and what role do you think the private sector should play?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHARLES MITCHELL: Good question. The private sector is playing a role with the community college in many different ways. I had mentioned our Workforce Development Programs, and that is working directly with the industries. Now as you know with community colleges in all of our, some called vocational programs, some called professional occupational programs. We have advisory boards that are made up of people from the community and that way, I mean, from the industry. That way we are able to keep abreast of what skills our students need to get the job out there. So they help us that way.

And community colleges are kind of new to raising private funds. And I compare it to like our University of Washington here just had a two billion dollar campaign and we're trying to get, you know, struggling to get up with the community colleges. But

more and more the private sector recognizes the value, the social, economic as well as the educational value of the community college, community/technical college system. And they are supporting us. They're supporting us with private dollars with scholarships, private dollars for capital, private dollars to design the various workforce programs. And so I always feel that we could do better.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And the question I think was asked, of what role the private sector plays in this whole education movement if we were to guarantee education.

And I feel that it has to be a big involvement from the private sector for us to go forward with that.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Richard.

RICHARD VEDDER: Let me ask you an unfair That is what I get being on the Commission. question. You are sitting there. If you were a member of you had to vote on the Congress and following proposition: We're going to eliminate all federal student loan programs for families with income of more than \$80,000, from kids from families with more than \$80,000 a year and federal tax credits and deductions similar to what you're already recommended. take would the money and use it to support scholarships for low income students of all ages, by the way to pick up the earlier testimony, say families

of less than \$40,000 a year, and I'm just roughing this out. Would you vote for or against such a legislation? I assume that would be roughly revenue neutral. I'm not sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHARLES MITCHELL: Sounds like a trick question. But I guess the basis of that would give who could not those students afford college opportunity to go there, I would certainly be for it. I do understand that when we speak of many of our colleges that are priced out of the colleges, sometimes they're not the students that are the most disadvantaged or the poorest. Many times it is that marginal student that are just above the line where that cannot receive financial aid. And so, that is the way I'm going to answer your question.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: One last question, Bob, go ahead.

BOB MENDENHALL: I think we all agree with investing in higher education. And in many ways community colleges are the most efficient providers of higher education in the system. What would you think of the idea if we were to propose that we actually let colleges charge their real tuition, what it cost to educate a student; and that the state subsidies, instead of going to the institutions, were provided to students and increasingly on a need basis so those

with greater need would receive a different proportion of the dollars than those with lesser need. Would that be to the benefit of community colleges? Would that increase transparency and accountability in the higher ed system, and would it assist in holding down costs? In short, would that be a reasonable way to address some of these cost issues, would be to provide the subsidies directly to students and let colleges charge real tuition rather than subsidize tuition?

CHARLES MITCHELL: Yes, I would agree with that. I know that we have, like one of our legislators in the State of Washington has always proposed high tuition and high financial aid. And the problem that we have had with it is that financial aid has never covered the cost of the tuition. And I think the proposal that you are proposing is that they would be covered. Those with the lowest need would be covered and on up to those that could afford it would pay less. And, yes, I would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you very much for your time and your testimony. The insights you have provided today we will take into account as we press forward.

Next on the agenda today we have David Conley, Director of the Center for Educational Policy Research for the University of Oregon.

DAVID CONLEY: I thank you all for the opportunity to visit with you on this beautiful sunny day both in Oregon and in Washington. I will be talking to you about some systems issues, about the connections between high school and college, students enter college, and what we need to do to make sure they succeed. Some of what I will be saying will echo comments you heard before President Smith and others. But some of them will be more policy-oriented. As a Professor of Educational Policy I took more of kind of a pure policy approach to this and as such my recommendations are more general in nature and they're not specific to a program.

But I think, as I try -- the point I try to make in my written comments was that this is a system issue and that higher education is a part of a larger education system that as others said is not particularly well-connected or well-aligned. And that is a deliberate choice by this nation throughout its history was to separate high school and college and there are reasons for it. However, we have reached a point where that disconnect no longer serves the kinds of purposes that it once did and it is harder and harder to rationalize as a logical way to organize education.

So what is the effect of this disconnect

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

between systems? Well, the first effect, is there is no particular accountability on who is admitted to higher education or proposed secondary education. A lot of the discussion here has been about access but a broader more fundamental question is access for whom.

And what is the effect of access for students and for society of who is admitted to college?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Universities, state universities, private institutions, community colleges all have control over who comes to their institutions to some degree, to varying degrees. But what -- the net effect is that as the system operates currently the message that students get about what they should be doing in high be ready for college access consistent with what it actually takes to succeed in postsecondary education for the most part. really talking about all students, but the ones who are who -- where this affects the greatest is what I the middle fifty percent. But the highest performing high school students manage to succeed in postsecondary ed sometimes in spite of everything we do to them and for them.

The middle group, which really is the group that over the last 30 to 40 years has now come to view postsecondary ed as an aspiration, that group fifty years ago did not view postsecondary ed as their

goal. That group, expanding group of students who are going on to postsecondary ed but may not be engaging in high school all that seriously but they can still get admitted because they do certain ritualist things that are required which include courses of particular titles, include particular grade point average, include good attendance and some other things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So on they go to postsecondary ed. get there. They're really not prepared for what they Now what they run into is a different set run into. of expectations and really, a different set of goals. Postsecondary ed at the very -- we have done a lot of research on what goes on in entry level college courses, and to sum it all up, the difference between high school and college is that colleges assume that education is about learning, not only things, but how think about things. And of to sort an over-generalization in some ways but not to say that things aren't important.

But college education, entry level, even in the general ed strand, has a very strong component of learning to think like someone who is an expert in a particular area or way of doing. So in science it is to learn to think like a scientist. In English it is to think as someone who could critique literature, for example. In the social science, it is to be

analytic, for example, and know how to interpret. And high school doesn't really develop those skills.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And college -- when students arrive there they're confronted with this tremendous change in expectations. And some of them arrive without even basic skills that it takes so that they don't have even the reading, the writing, and particularly the writing I want -- if you want to focus on one thing. Mathematics is another question. For one mathematics is amenable sort of focused to Writing is something that really is instruction. acquired over a longer period of time. If we are not addressing writing consistently, students simply don't arrive at the writing level, and it is very difficult, in particular to bring them back up to the level that we need in writing. And the net effect of that is that in college writing is the primary means we use to ascertain student performance and skill level. And that is increasingly true across the disciplines.

My daughter, my middle child, University of Oregon in human physiology and she would frequently tell me about their labs and they have to write up their labs and they have to write long, descriptive reports. So writing became a skill in human physiology as a major, sort of in a pre med area. We are finding that writing is an incredibly important

skill. So this may sound like a high school problem, but my point is it is a systems problem.

And colleges for their part set admissions criteria without really addressing whether those criteria get the students what they want and need. So there is a responsibility from my perspective here in a policy sense to have college admissions criteria, and methods reflect better the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in postsecondary ed.

The other thing that happens is because the current measures don't really work well, grade point have gone -- averages have gone up for 30 years in high school without any evidence of corresponding increase in student knowledge and skill, for example. And we see an increasing variance in the high school curriculum by course title. So that the course called Algebra 1 or Algebra 2 at the high school level is really -- we don't know anything about what that means anymore. But from the college's perspective, the course title is the means of quality control, do you have this title on your transcript.

The net effect of that is that the variance hurts the poor and largely students in minority-concentrated areas most because those high school students tend to be the ones where the variance works against the students' benefit.

And in essence, what happens that expectations are lowered for those students, though the course title remains the same. Those students that have transcripts that appear to prepare them to come to college. And they think they are They have gotten good grades. They have been told their writing is good and then run into this tremendous disconnect, this discontinuity in postsecondary level. So getting a continuity of expectation is critically important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Placement testing is also an issue. It is more technical in some ways, but it is also a concern for two basic reasons. One is the high schools don't know what is on the placement tests. The students don't know. So when the students arrive very often they do poorly on the placement test simply because it wasn't coordinated in any way with what they did in high school. If you had Charles Reed here, he would be talking about this in detail with you about how have been working to try to get connections between what is on the placement test and what students are doing on the state high school examination.

Across the nation, the state high school exams are all developed without reference to what it takes to succeed in postsecondary learning. Michigan

recently just developed the ACT as a replacement, essentially, for its state high school exam. Is that an answer? It is a -- time will tell. However, it illustrates this disconnect between high school exams and college readiness and information for students about how ready they are for college based on how they do on a high school exam.

So the last thing to think about is in higher ed is the gatekeeper courses where -- and some of us have experienced those in our lives where the real admissions method at many universities is not the admission's office. It is the gatekeeper course. And those are sort of a 100 level number course in general ed. And that's where the universities can show you with some consistency the failure rate, which is 50 percent or greater. They know it. They use it to weed out students.

Now the problem with -- it may seem acceptable because while the student shouldn't be doing this, they should go to another major at this point, the problem is that this hits the hardest in math and science. And the net effect of that is that it constricts the pipeline of students who are able to move on in math and science. Now, my argument is not to lower the expectation of the standard of those courses, but it is to make more clear what students

need to do to succeed in those courses. And it may that we need sequences that help students even get up to the level of the gatekeeper course, so they can get through it as opposed to having them receive a message, I can't do this. I need to switch to a different major. We need more students going on, particularly math and science, and the gatekeeper courses in those areas in particular became an issue.

My solution said is very policy-oriented, very, I don't want to say -- wampish (phonetic) -- but it has some of that in it. Requiring, for example, that all high school students have a common core of four years of preparation in core academic areas. We are having fights about whether students should have three years. We are having fights about whether or not we should even dare think about four years of mathematics let alone science. Most other places around the world, if you are going to college you take a prescribed core of courses for four years to prepare you. And everyone who is going to college takes roughly the same course.

This doesn't mean that teachers can't adapt this creatively to the student populations, and they shouldn't have flexibility to run curriculum implementations, but the expectations should be four years for everyone. And the people, the students who

will benefit the most are the ones in the poorest communities, and students will at least represent the higher education and suffer from the lowest expectations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Colleges need to work with high schools on reviewing syllabi. This is a very controversial notion. But that the colleges -- the University of California system up until the 1960's accredited high schools, individual California high They did quality control. schools. This is not unprecedented in U.S. history at all. My suggestion would be, in an era where we can review materials on line, let's have the courses submitted, let's have them reviewed by panels faculty members of conjunction with high school people. I think it is fine to work jointly on this.

Advance placement is moving in exactly this direction. Beginning next year all 120,000 or so AP teachers will have to submit their courses for review. So this is not an unprecedented notion.

I believe that more student work should be examined. For example, if students had to do a senior paper, a serious research paper, that had to be submitted with the application and reviewed. The most selective universities allow some of this sort of material submission now. I believe we could extend it

further so that students had a capstone piece of work that they had to focus on that would really get them to that level.

I'm at my ten minutes. I'm going to close here in just a second. I covered most of this in my recommendation so just by implication, I think we need some more work around the entry level courses, how they're taught, how they're structured. This is something where I think higher education has to look deeply into how it operates and say, are we setting up those courses in a way students can succeed or is some of the problem students simply -- incoming freshmen don't even figure how, what this course expects of them until halfway through the semester. cases it is really just а whole problem of expectations and orientation and so forth.

Well I think there is plenty of responsibility to go around here. I don't think there is any blame to go around because the system is operating exactly as it is designed to operate. So from my perspective, this is really about designing systems for the 21st century where we acknowledge what we want for education and we put the policies in place to achieve that. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you very much.

Jim.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMES DUDERSTADT: One of the disconnects you didn't mention, I would be interested in your views on is the disconnect between how teachers are produced presumably in our university that are for K 12, and of course they're produced only in a little corner of our universities and generally forgotten about. And that seems to be one of the most significant disconnects of all.

DAVID CONLEY: That is very important. I think until we address that we'll never get to point of having teachers in our public schools who deal with the kind of critical thinking or higher order thinking that we are expecting students to have mastered or at least experienced before they move on to postsecondary ed.

Similarly for secondary school teachers in particular, unless we can design some core curriculum we want them to master, what we do with them is we don't prepare them to teach anything in particular. One example, in English, most students who teach -- who became, I will put this way, most high school English teachers weren't English majors in college or many weren't. The result is they focus on literary analysis, which is not a bad thing, but it shouldn't be what you do for four years of high school to the exclusion of composition, of rhetoric, forensics,

speech and debate, and particular reading and decoding of informational text in addition to literature. So we need a common core of expectation of what is going on in high school. And then the teachers have to be trained to that core so we don't just simply accept what they come out of college with as whatever they have is the basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Other question?

RICHARD VEDDER: I just want to comment, you are the second or third speaker today that has pointed to the need to make the experience between high school and the university experience more of a seamless experience rather than us living in different worlds that we come together. And so I commend you for your testimony. And I want to echo what Jim said about teacher education being the biggest disconnect At least some of us feel that that is a very serious problem, and of course teacher -- and you are a professor of education so you know this better than do, but there is within the university community disdain neglect, perhaps, some and integrating colleges of education into the broader university life and that may be contributing as well as the disconnect between the high schools and colleges themselves.

DAVID CONLEY: And I think that is definitely a system issue and there is no simple

I think we can encourage more students, more of our best and brightest to enter teaching. And we have had lots of programs to try to do that. There is much more talk about focusing on math and science and encouraging students in math and science to go into teaching.

But we don't want to -- the only way we can accomplish these things is if we have a larger pool of qualified students, back to my earlier point. If we're not admitting them, if they're not going into the majors, if they're not moving far enough through the program, in math and science for example, we're not going to get the teachers we need. If you look at our program, any university program, the number of students who want to be English teachers or social studies teachers compared to math and science teachers, it is staggering, the differential between the two. I don't think we can afford to do that.

So at the very least we have to give an option within math and science for students to be able to move to a high level of competence based on their interest and skill, but then also be able to teach rather than going into industry or the private sector, but we'll never get there if the pool remains so small.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RICHARD VEDDER: You talked an awful lot about the importance from a systems perspective. Do you have any good examples where you see that system operating very well?

DAVE CONLEY: I see a lot. Washington State is making some good effort. I thought Running good effort. The Higher Education Start is а Coordinating Board has projects under postsecondary readiness definitions. We're doing work with them on that project. They have done math transition standards between high school and college. Boeing has been involved in that project. a lot of pieces. There is talk about how does the WASL connect with postsecondary ed. There are pieces.

But what you don't have is, you don't -no one owns it. Everyone is doing it on their own.
Here we're in a state that is doing the best job, one
of the best jobs of it, but it is happening out of
people kind of good will. So Oregon is trying to
coordinate its -- they have joint boards articulation
group, it brings the two boards together. And we are
going to have to look at these government structures.
We are going to have to look at how they communicate.
We are going to have to look at -- people are going
to have to give up some autonomy, and I think this is
going to be painful, to get coordinated policy. I

think having a lot of experimentation and voluntary agreement is really good to help us figure out how to do this.

Eventually though, we have to put it into place. These -- most states expend really, the kind of Running Start approach, where we let's -- it is about five percent, and Washington is more than that. I think it is approaching ten. But in most states these joint programs get about five percent of the students. From an accountability and efficiency point of view, many more students could be moving on to college much earlier, in a much more gradual transition than exists currently, but high school -- is very threatening to high school. Colleges aren't wild about that either, by the way. Policy has to be put in place.

So I would say you have states that are moving in the direction of coordinating their governing boards, trying experimental programs, seeing what works. But it tends to largely be individual initiatives, even campus-based initiatives, and I think we need to have systems.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Some on the Commission are considering is it time now to start looking at a broader integrated architecture? Would you see within the educational community there is beginning to become an appetite to be able to support something like that?

DAVID CONLEY: No. I think that this is -- this is something that is not going to come about This is not -- you can cobble elements without pain. of this into a win/win. But part -- and I think when it is in place people are going to be okay with it. But getting there is going to be painful because this involves surrendering long-held deep-seated beliefs about autonomy on both sides of the system. parts of school districts schools are are governmental agencies. Colleges independent and universities have very strong traditions of autonomy. I think this is an incredibly difficult match to make on a policy level. And the budgets are separate too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JAMES DUDERSTADT: -- we are about to tear down a large building named after former university president named Henry Freeze (phonetic). great contribution in the 1960's was to realize that for the larger universities, it is just too expensive to build their own academies to prepare students for admission, so he got the bright idea of universities accredit those so-called secondary schools and had it propagated throughout the midwest and look how far we have now diverged from that.

DAVID CONLEY: We have the models. Thank you.

RICHARD VEDDER: I must comment there is a

Duderstadt Center at University of Michigan and one wonders how long it will be before they tear it down.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Mark Emmert, President of the University of Washington.

MARK EMMERT: Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, thank for providing this opportunity to meet with you and discuss what I think is one of the most important issues in America today and that is the future of our higher education institutions. When you look at the global landscape and America's place within it and you ask yourself, what enterprises is the United States still clearly the globally dominant One among those is, of course, our higher player? education institutions. While we have many, challenges and many issues that we need to attentive to, I think one of the places that I would like to start is with the recognition that today, as least as of right now, the higher education system of the United States has done some pretty remarkable things on global scale. It is still the educator of first choice for citizens from all around the world. It is still the repository of great storehouses of knowledge that has been accumulated. It is still the bastion of the greatest research and scholarship going on any place on the planet right now.

So as we think about where we would like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to go with higher education moving forward, I think we do have a bit of a baby in the bath water challenge in front of us and that is to recognize the clear and obvious need for change and improvement. At the same time recognize that higher education institutions overall, and in the United States in particular, are extraordinarily successful in their scope and their reach and their capacity to educate a broad citizenry.

But having said that, then you say all right, that explains most of the 20th century, surely the post World War II era of the 20th century and the rise of the great universities, especially the kind that I represent, the research universities like Michigan or others.

But as we stretch into that 21st century, we start to see there are some fundamental challenges. We have continued to ask more and more of our universities. Again, I'm going to speak predominantly about research-intensive universities, if I might. And especially public ones since those are the ones I know the most. We have continued to ask more and more of these institutions and therefore they wind up having a great complexity of ownership and demands and expectations of them so that it becomes much, much harder for any of these universities to maximize any one of their programs.

So as we emerged as great research enterprises, it put certain strains and challenges on the undergraduate educational experience. became great clinical practice medical centers, that placed another set of strains on physical plans and budgetary concerns and added new dimensions complexity to what they were about. As we became the graduate educators of first choice for the world, that added yet another set of expectations. And then as we moved into the latter part of the 20th century up to course today, we're also now οf turned as fundamental engines of economic opportunity and economic growth to support business and industry and job creation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I think that speaking for the University of Washington, we represent, in many ways, an exemplar of those opportunities and those successes. The UW has became the largest recipient of funded research funding of -- funded research dollars of any public university in the country, second only to Johns Hopkins among all universities. It is an institution that now has a very large and very complex biomedical research program and clinical enterprise running two and a half hospitals. We now have full and part time employees of over 35,000. We have now become a three billion dollar a year enterprise. Its sheer economic

impact letting alone its role as an educational institution, is quite immense, like most of the large successful public research universities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

At the same time, what we're trying to do is focus on delivering excellence in all of those activities that we engage in. So I just caught the tail end of the last presentation, which sounded quite interesting, and I'm sorry that I didn't hear all of it because I thought it was making great sense to me.

And we're, for example, looking at many of those same issues. We're engaged right now in an effort to reconsider the nature of the undergraduate experience the University at Washington, recognizing that that experience is much more complex than simply what goes on the Recognizing that don't classroom. we students to simply finish their educational experience having checked off all of the right boxes of cafeteria of courses being offered. But that we expect them to enter the university as skilled, able, ready-to-begin students and leave the University of Washington as fundamentally transformed people. People who are very different than the young men or young women or not so young men and women who entered the institution four or five years earlier. That that experience provides them with a fundamentally different understanding of

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the world around them, who they are in that world and what their opportunities are and what they can do in that world.

It is much the same with how we look at our research enterprise. We, like every research university, is very proud of our rankings in this field or that field and we braq about it a lot in all of our literature. But it begs the question, what are we going to do with those rankings. Okay. So we have the number one program in public health and very high ranking medical school and number one program in nursing, et cetera, et cetera. What does that mean for the citizens of Washington? What does that mean for the citizens of the United States and beyond?

So we're trying to also focus attention on areas where we can have meaningful impact on the world around us; that we can take the intellectual resources that reside inside the university, focus them not just on great intellectual questions, as important as those are, and as everybody continues to stay focused on, but also how do we put that intellectual promise out there in ways that is going to have transformational impact on our state and beyond.

To give you an example, we just, a couple of weeks ago, announced formation of a new department of global health. A department that brings together

in a highly interdisciplinary program, a department that is going to come out of public health and out of medicine and brings together the entire university campus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The nature of the issues around global health are self-evident. We don't need to spend time on those, but you cannot solve problems of public health inside the context of any one academic It requires every bit as much economic, discipline. anthropological political, and solutions it requires medical and health policy issues. Ιt requires civil engineering solutions to deal with housing and sanitation and water quality. instead of starting with those individual disciplines, we said what is a glaring problem in our state, in our nation, and in our planet, global health. How do we organize ourselves, then, to start to address this interesting and daunting problem? You can't do that in a traditional fashion. You have to rethink structure, you have to rethink the way people interact at the university.

Same thing with the undergraduate experience. As we focus on constantly improving the quality of the undergraduate experience, it is not just the role of the classroom professor, it is the role of everyone throughout the university. When I

was asked how I would know that the undergraduate initiative was successful my answer was, I'll know it when the custodians working in the student residence halls believe and act upon the fact that they're part of the educational experience, that they're engaged in that every bit as much as everyone else is on the campus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Then I think the last piece I want mention and then I'll take questions is that as we think about rolling out the university as an exemplar in all of those things that we do, we are trying very hard also to focus maintaining our qlobal on competitiveness. We don't need to, in this audience, world is flat talk about the sort to arguments and all of that is quite self-evident to everybody who looks at these issues; but the fact of the matter is that American higher education has been one of the great competitive advantages for our nation. We need to maintain it as such but we can't do it by doing more of the same. We do, in fact have to continue to be aggressive in the way we change and adapt and evolve as enterprises.

At the end of the day though, universities produce the two things that are the most important for success economically, socially, and culturally as we move forward as well as education, and that is smart

people and great innovative ideas. In the 21st those communities, those states, those nations that can accumulate the greatest number of those two assets: Smart people and great new ideas will be successful. And those states, communities, and nations that can't accumulate them will be much less successful. Those are the only two products that we provide, that and health care are the three things that we provide to the citizenry of the state and the nation, smart people, great innovative ideas, and good health care. And we're going to continue to work on our structures to make sure we do that well into the 21st century, and with that I would be happy to pause and take any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RICH STEPHENS: Thank you very much. Jim, go ahead.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: One of the debates that is occurring within the Commission has to do with view of the future in terms of availability of resources and the balance between public and private sector. One group believes that the priorities of aging baby boomer population, expensive health care, safety from crime, Home Land security, national security, reduced tax burden will simply limit the availability of public resources and provide very little opportunity for new dollars to meet public demands for the new

kinds of missions that universities like yours achieve.

We have another view that I think is best articulated by one of our members, Governor Hunt from North Carolina, that if the public understands just how important education is to their future they'll put it in priority above health care, national security, everything else, because after all it is the education of our people that will determine all of the other factors.

Now that is an interesting debate to have, but as a university president, how do you read the tea leaves for the University of Washington and how do you position yourself, I suppose, to handle either of those two futures?

MARK EMMERT: Well, Governor Hunt, of course, is a genius. Obviously you know Jim, and of course you got to do this for many years as well. The reality is that it is a juggling act. You have to operate inside the political realities of the day. I am, I'll confess, disappointed in our baby boomer generation because as we age we do seem to be a little bit self-centered in the kinds of things we're investing in.

I grew up in a working class family. I'm a first generation college graduate. My family always

had expectations that I would go to college and they dutifully paid their taxes and supported every school bond issue. And we know that story. And the point of the matter is that our predecessor generation, our parent's generation made enormous investments that weren't going to pay off necessarily for them but for for succeeding generations their children and education systems, in infrastructure in this country, and highway systems and in a variety of ways. we're much less hesitant to invest back in some of those infrastructures right now and I think that is a case we in education need to make very strongly that, yes, there are immediate impacts and yes, that something we need to focus on, that come out education in terms of impact on environment, et cetera, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

But also that there is just a public good here that needs to be addressed, that this is not all about private goods. I can make a compelling argument that the students at the University of Washington ought to pay all of their costs of education. I can make an equal compelling argument that they ought to pay none of it because the return on investment in both cases is exceptional.

What has to be done is you have to strike a balance between what is the fair share for the state

and the taxpayers; what is the fair share for the families and the students. And that is always a dynamic tension.

The biggest problem is that the lack of sense of urgency. You know here in Washington, we're debating the Alaska Way Viaduct in the City of Seattle and it is about to fall down if there is another earthquake. Everybody knows that. Everybody is anxious about it. You can make a case for urgency.

But you know the degradation of education occurs very, very gradually. I liken it to scooping up a bunch of sand and you hold it in your hands, but it is seeping out between your fingers and at no moment can you watch it dissipate. But then when you look away and look back your hand is empty and you can't quite figure out what happened.

Well, the degradation of education is the same kind of process. It is this wonderful jewel, but it is degrading fast and its competitiveness and we're going to turn around and look back and all of a sudden realize we're not competitive anymore. And then it is going to be extraordinary expensive to fix.

RICHARD VEDDER: This is fascinating and I appreciate your comments very much. Picking up a little bit on what Jim asked, you have a three billion dollar budget. How much of it, and this is just a

factual question, how much of it comes in the form of state government subsidies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MARK EMMERT: State general fund appropriations about 375 million dollars, 12 percent.

RICHARD This VEDDER: leads into I was guessing it was about that because it typical of the major mainline is so state universities, ten, fifteen percent of the total funds. I assume that includes medical centers and so forth?

MARK EMMERT: Yes, of course

RICHARD VEDDER: But still in the broader order of things you would be hard pressed to do away with it right away. It is still only an increasingly small minority of total funding.

President Garland of Miami University in the Washington Post within the last month proposed moving toward a system where so called state universities, in effect, move in the direction of privatization, taking those, in your case, 375 million dollars, and giving them to the students and let them pick the school that they go to. This might foster greater competition among universities, might sort of in a sense increase transparencies, and deal with the fact that state universities now are more of a fiction to call them state universities, and that the state universities are hamstrung with regulations and so

forth anyway. And this was coming from a state university president. I just was curious as to what your thinking is on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MARK EMMERT: We've actually spent a great deal of time looking at the Miami of Ohio tuition We have assessed it pretty rigorously and rejected it pretty, equally rigorously. The fact, of course, is that have debated the we as we this across public/private good component of the country and you're well aware, you have written about it, shifted the relative portion that is public to greater tuition and along with that, has became, has occurred these diminishing value, diminishing contributions in a relative sense from the states.

But on the other hand, I think I'm one of those that still believes in the publicness, public university person and have done all of my work in public universities. Were we to move toward a Miami model, there is no question the University of Washington, as an enterprise, could be successful. We could probably generate greater revenue than we do today.

On the other hand, our student body would look very different. It would be very less socio-economically diverse. It would be very less representative of the State of Washington. We work

very hard to have a very large proportion of Washingtonians here, about 86 percent. We would wind up acting like, much more like private institution.

And with that there would be enormous loss to our citizens. Yale University is a spectacular university, one of the great universities on earth that happens to be located in Connecticut. I was at the University of Connecticut. I was chancellor there so I know Connecticut well. But Yale doesn't, nor should it be expected to worry about the fate of the Connecticut. State of Ιt just happens historical accident be located there. It is not of Connecticut. It is just residing there. therefore, it behaves accordingly. It doesn't worry about helping solve the problems of Connecticut. doesn't worry about the public policy issues of the It doesn't worry about reaching out to the citizens of the State of Connecticut. It worries about New Haven, but only in enlightened а self-interested fashion.

I think the great public universities of this country have had a fundamental impact on shaping the civility of our country and were we no longer public we would worry much less about that. And I think that would be a great casualty.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: One last question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: I'm working with several of my colleagues on the access piece for the recommendations for Secretary Spellings, and we have had an interesting debate come up. There are those that believe that every American, once understanding the importance of education, should be able to chart their own course of action for acquiring knowledge. Not setting the standard for where it takes, but acquiring that knowledge and have the resources; and another tension that says, let's not kid ourselves, if we look at the varying types of higher education institutions outcomes are different. And to extent that we stimulate access among the low income or first generation to college or different races or ethnicities, there is a plug in terms of capacity. What advice would you have for us in terms of capacity at the traditional, what we would consider traditional education, campus like you serve and then advice on other types of higher education for students?

MARK EMMERT: Well, I don't think there is any -- I'll answer them in reverse orders if I might. I don't think there's any question that we have been insufficiently creative in the ways in which we deliver higher education. We still deliver the vast majority of our education in a very traditional format. We are all experimenting with and moving into

new, more efficient ways of doing that, thereby creating more capacity in our institutions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

But, you know, the fact that universities and colleges are the oldest sustaining organizations on the planet, I would suggest that we're doing something right in some of those traditional models as So again, baby and bath water models. I think well. we need to look at and explore a variety of different modes of delivering education and provided capacity for different students with different backgrounds and different needs and be open to that. And we in the more traditional institutions ought not be threatened by that. We ought to embrace it and we ought to do more of it ourselves as we are trying to at the UW.

And I think similarly we need to be much more flexible in finding efficiencies inside our own organizations, both in the way and the manner in we educate and move people through the system so that we get higher levels graduation on time, because for every student we get out in four years instead of five or six that is one more person you can bring in as a freshman or transfer student. So I think all of that ought to be on the table as you think of your work.

In terms of the access issue, when federal policy was put together around financial aid, first it

was access that was addressed through financial aid models and then it was choice. So it wasn't just a financially of well, we can guarantee or quarantee access to a school, now it is a school of think that has allowed some of choice. Ι competitiveness to come into the system that is very We worry about what goes on at Stanford at useful. the University of Washington. Because of financial aid programs our best students get to choose between us and Stanford. So we try very hard to make sure our educational programs match up to those at Stanford or Michigan or whatever our competitor is. And that is a very good thing for the quality of education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I think it has also allowed us to raise a whole generation of young people and, again, not so young people, who aspire to achieving educationally at the best schools they can possible get into. That is a remarkably animating force I think. For a young person to realize there is no financial barriers as they're go through school if they chose, and if they have the intellectual capacity to go to any school that they can get into I think it is a remarkable thing. We have many, many, too many families now that don't believe they can afford to go to college. We need to change that.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you very much
for your time and testimony. We look forward to
continued dialogue.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Next on the agenda we have Andrew Menter, from the Highland Campus health Group. Andrew, the floor is yours.

ANDREW MENTER: Good morning. Again, my name is Andrew Menter, I'm the CEO of Highland Campus health Group, insurance billings on college health centers. We have a full turnkey service. What I mean by that is in addition to billing and collections we do compliance, credentialing, IT and everything associated with billing so the college health centers can concentrate on what they do best, which is providing health care to students.

Nashville, Senator Lamar Alexander lamented that state appropriations to higher education rose only 6.8 percent between 2000 and 2004. incidentally included a 2.1 percent decline in 2003 which was the first such decline in 11 years. that same period, the Medicaid cost rose 36 percent. Medicaid is a non-discretionary item as Dr. Mitchell said, higher education is not. And so whereas states spent about fifteen percent of their budget on higher education in 1990 number decreased that to 11.5 percent last year. And in many states that gap is

significantly larger.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

But instead of or perhaps in addition to promoting these figures, what we need to do is explore dollars whether the needed to fund the state appropriation shortfall lies solely in increased tuition and fees. These fees have risen 7.3 percent, 9.3 percent, and 12.3 percent in the last three years respectively. And they threaten student retention for obvious reasons, that is cost. What we need to do is explore whether this 10 percent or so annual tuition and fee increase could be mitigated by alternative revenue sources. When a student receives an invoice for semester's enrollment, the largest account payable is tuition and fees.

Now I think we all understand tuition. Presumably that is primarily the dollars that we -- that the students pay to go to class. We learn from our professors and learn from our fellow students.

But what about the fees part of that which include the dining fee, the recreational facilities fee, the campus activities fee, the library fee and the largest one of all recently, the student health fee?

Because universities are receiving fewer and fewer state dollars, some universities are requiring non-academic departments to become axillary

departments whereby these departments are expected to support themselves. Unfortunately, these departments, a lot of them, are finding that their expenses are exceeding the revenues and in order to fill that shortfall, these departments are all levying pretty excessive student fees. There is an opportunity in student health centers to increase revenues for themselves, same time reducing these excessive student health fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

briefly describing the insurance billing model in student health services, what I hope do is illustrate how partnerships to between universities and private industry can save students significant dollars and prove a better response limited state appropriations and escalating fees. the very least, industry can help mitigate the rising costs.

With respect to student health centers, the recent survey by the American College Health Association, suggests that 83 percent of college students have private insurance. However, less than five percent of these student health centers are accepting private insurance. And for context, I would like to cite an example of a typical visit by a student to a student health center. And I'll use an example that's close to home.

Our CEO's youngest daughter just started college last fall. Within the first two weeks of class she went to the health center, saw a provider, had an x-ray, checked out, went and handed her insurance card. And they said sorry, ma'am, we don't accept that. Here is a \$55.00 bill for your x-ray, but here is the good news, you don't have to pay for your office visit.

Now of course she can take the paperwork and try to get the reimbursement from insurance company, but reality dictates that is not going to happen. These providers in the student health center, because they don't accept insurance, are not credentialed. They're all out of network. And no, she did not get reimbursed for that \$55.00.

Interestingly I asked our CEO yesterday if he would mind if I relate the story. He said, while you're at it, tell them I got a bill the other day from TCU where my son goes to school, and he had to pay X number of dollars. But this \$55.00, the majority of the ancillary dollars that students are paying at student health centers represent a hidden and unpublished cost of higher education. On the other hand, the zero dollars for the office visit that an insurance company would pay, represents foregone revenue.

So with student health centers either unable unwilling to accept private health or insurance, we have two results that emerge. First, as I noted, students are paying out-of-pocket dollars for ancillary charges their private insurance should and would generally cover. And second, student health services are foregoing a considerable revenue stream by not billing insurance for office visits. The student health services by the are, department that are caught in the nexus of limited state support for higher education and a corresponding increase in the cost of delivering health services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Thirty percent annual student health service fee in recent years has been commonplace and some universities as large as fifty percent increase annually, but here is our opportunity. Twelve million two hundred fifty three thousand students attended four-year institutions in fall of 2003. And I use four-year institutions because these are typically the institutions that have a comprehensive health center where at least -- there is at least one physician where insurance billing model works most effectively.

At 83 percent of students having private health insurance, that is 10.2 million of those 12.2 million students. The average visit to a student health center per student per year exceeds 1.5. Let's

take 90 percent of that or 1.35 visits per student. That 10.2 million insured students make accumulative 13.7 million office visits per year. Based on a lower level visit that you're going to see in a student health center, Medicare would pay an reimbursement of about \$61.00. And the insurance companies are paying at about rate equivalent to about 100 percent of Medicare. Of course there will be deductions for non-allowable deduction -- just various out-of-pocket expenses the insurance company won't cover so if we reduced that figure by 20 percent, that \$61.00 becomes about \$49.00.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

But 13.7 million visits multiplied by \$49.00 per visit equals gross collections of about 670 million dollars.

Again, earlier I said that five percent, five percent are accepting student So that 95 percent of that 670 million insurance. dollar number means that about 635 million dollars of gross revenue in U.S. college health centers remains uncollected. That is a figure that equals almost one state appropriations to percent of total higher education in the United States. And that is from one department.

Overall the lack of state appropriations has forced universities to seek alternative revenue.

Unfortunately additional revenue irrespective of the industry habitually requires increased cost and risk. But the switch to third-party billing at student health services represents the unusual scenario where revenue is already being generated -- every single time a student goes to see a provider. Missing is merely the collection of that revenue from the insurance company.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Headlines from a 2003 article State University student newspaper read: Arizona "Student Fees Contribute to Rising Tuition Costs." And that has been a common headliner in student newspapers across the country for the better part of the last five years. ASU which is, by virtue of the 2005 enrollment, the largest school country, responded in part by outsourcing third-party billing in their student health center. Dr. Michael Crow, has been their president since July 2002, has openly encouraged entrepreneurial leadership presumably with the goal of averting the aforesaid headlines. And in today's economic environment where higher education foregoes dollars to non-discretionary items, higher education officials should capitalize on financial opportunities that all do not include raising tuition and fees. And the easiest one is on the student health center.

And yet while some directors like Dr. Septin (phonetic) at ASU, and two student center directors and Professor VederState (phonetic), Dr. Eaglemen (phonetic) at Bowling Green and Mary Reeves at Kent State embraced third party billing, many directors are shunning third-party billing. multiple occasions the health center directors having knowledge that while third-party billing would benefit parents, students, and the university it is simply easier and less work to increase the student health 11 fee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And in a word, that is just unacceptable. It is also irresponsible, but as this Commission has discussed many times many in higher education are not amenable to change.

John F. Kennedy said: Change is a law of life and those who look only to the past or present are certain to miss the future. Then again, Woodrow Wilson also said that if you want to make enemies try to change something.

The missions of universities all differ common but theme is educating their students. Realistically, universities do not want to be health service providers. That is not their primary purpose, having health centers on campus enriches student's experience. That is also why dining halls,

dorms, and other departments exist, not to educate the students but rather to augment the students' educational experience.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Universities are in the business of educating students. They do it best. However, environment whereby partnerships universities and private industry are beneficial for both parties so that educators can educate at affordable price while others, whether student health centers, dining, housing, or other non-academic departments who capitalize on partnerships industry to facilitate efficiency and reduced expenses for students and their parents.

I conclude with a recommendation. A lot of presenters made several recommendations. I have one. Facilitate change to make it worthwhile for private companies to partner with higher education. Our CO is here today. She has been in billing for 25 years. It used to take her about two to three days to go see a doctor and go get a client and start making revenue for herself and her client. We've had contracts sitting in general contractor's office now for six months.

But this is -- the change is related not necessarily to the tuition part of it but to the fee part of it with the auxiliary departments. In student

health centers allow incentives for likes of Dr. Septin, Dr. Eagleman and Mary Reeves. Harry Truman, sticking with presidents, said that progress occurs when courageous skillful leaders seize the opportunity to change things for the better. Well, let's reward our leaders.

On the other hand, and I know this is probably a part of your accountability discussion or hopefully it is in some respect, but where is the accountability for those who would rather pass cost to students and parents than make a change within their department simply because it increases work load.

I was born in South Africa and lived there for eight years. And South Africa's great leader Nelson Mandela said: Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world. But folks, unfortunately, if the people in higher education do not change, that powerful weapon is no longer going to be affordable one. Thanks.

RICHARD VEDDER: All I have to say and you're probably right on on the healthcare, I mean in your business and third party billing, but I want to think big as in life for the Commission. I would ask my fellow commissioners, why are universities in the business of providing, housing, food, healthcare, professional entertainment which goes under the name

of intercollegiate athletics, and a variety of other things when what they're really good at and what they should focus on is the dissemination and creation of knowledge?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

couple JAMES DUDERSTADT: Α comments. First, a great many universities are both providers as well as consumers of health services. They run very larqe medical centers. Those intensely were competitive environments and student health centers are rolled into that as a part of it. In fact, they of provide those kinds services for nearby institutions.

I think many universities are under enormous pressure to spin off where they can, health care services, janitorial services, and so forth. And I don't see that as anything you dictate from Washington. You let the marketplace drive that. And if the marketplace is transparent and it is truly competitive, over time it will work.

But I think the issue you are raising is much broader one and that is to do with the degree to which building communities to socialize young people have became not simply one of the missions, but in many cases the primary mission for higher education in this country. Sharp contrast to what it is in Europe and Asia. That, I think, is contributing enormously

to the cost structure. It is outside of the classroom. And I think it is really time to put that on the table that just how much do these universities want to become involved in -- as Lord Rugby said transforming savages into gentlemen. Is that really a significant fraction of our mission, or is our mission one, to produce smart people --

RICHARD VEDDER: Or turning gentlemen into savages.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: Well, getting back to football again. But I think that your concern is an appropriate one, but I think it is very much driven by missions and it has to be addressed by this broader issue, to what degree do universities accept this broader issue building and socializing communities. And it may be time that comes on the table for reconsideration.

ANDREW MENTER: Yeah, I agree. The setting in a college health center is, you know, 180 degrees from a primary care clinic. One of the most important aspects of a college health center is the wellness they teach in prevention and education. That is something that is not reimbursable and something, I think, is acceptable to pay a student health fee for.

The clinical side of it is not something that needs to be borne out of a student that has

insurance. And because of the wellness and prevention

et cetera, I don't think you can be outsourcing

college health centers entirely. That has been tried

and it's failed.

What I'm suggesting is let the student health centers provide healthcare and concentrate on the wellness and prevention and let private industry help you fund it so that those costs don't have to be pushed to the students.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Other questions. Andrew, thank you very much for your thoughts and ideas. It is important for the cost structure, spend some time on that and as Richard pointed out we certainly have had an interesting discussion amongst the Commissioners about what universities and colleges should be all about.

RICHARD VEDDER: Mr. Chairman, during Mr. Anderson's presentation, I will have to leave in the middle of it because I have to get to Washington DC for dinner tonight. I want to apologize to him already.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: We now have Richard Anderson, Professor of Computer Science and Engineering at the University of Washington.

RICHARD ANDERSON: Thank you very much.

Today I'm going to be talking about opportunities for

technology support instruction in higher education. I'm a professor of computer science and engineering at the University of Washington. About ten years ago my career took a major shift, away from traditional research in computer science to working with educational technology where I now develop classroom technology and study how to design effective pedagogy for novel educational environment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The shift began as I became involved in educational outreach various activities in found department. the area fascinating, challenging, and rewarding and have managed to attract a strong group of students to work with. Four years ago, I spent a sabbatical year at the Learning Science and Technology Group at Microsoft Research which has lead to a very successful collaborative relationship. flexibility given The by the University of Washington, and my own department, has been very important in allowing pursue this me to non-traditional path.

Today I'll be talking about aspects of educational technology. To illustrate general principles, I will talk about three particular areas I have worked in at the University of Washington.

Key points that I want to get across are that there is tremendous potential for applying

technology to higher education, as long as instructors define and pursue pedagogical goals for appropriate match for the technology. Applying technology to the classroom in a way that actually enhances learning is neither easy nor cheap. And there is substantial work to be done in developing teaching methodologies in concert with underlying technology.

Tutored Video Instruction is a novel mechanism for taking advantage of archived educational materials in the classroom. The idea is to facilitate discussion around pre-recorded material so students can reach an understanding of classroom content with the help of their peers and a tutor. This method of instruction was developed at Stanford in the 1970's by Jim Gibbons and his colleagues. They demonstrated very impressive results in terms of learning outcomes by students at remote sites.

Our Tutored Video Instruction project at UW made our introductory computer courses available at community colleges. We wanted students that took the courses at community colleges to be prepared for follow up courses when they transferred to a four-year institution. Basing the courses on our pre-recorded materials ensured coverage was the same as at UW. And the Tutored Video model allowed community college instructors to take advantage of face-to-face

interaction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We ran the program for several years and I will admit there were serious challenges and missteps, although on the balance there were some very positive outcomes. One in particular was the evolving relationship that community college instructors had with archived materials, integrating the content into their teaching repertoire. The technology for capture, distribution and replay of course material has improved greatly from the days when Jim Gibbons Video did his initial enabling work Tutored Instruction and other initiatives to spread benefits of education in a variety of contexts.

A second project, which is also based on facilitated combining instruction with archived educational materials is a Digital Study Hall project being directed by Professor Randy Wang of Princeton University. The Digital Study Hall aim is to improve elementary school education in rural India by deploying low-cost digital technology show to pre-recorded educational content, supported by classroom instructor. One of the brilliant ideas in his project is the model of making it possible for people worldwide to contribute content -- such as math lessons in Hindi, which are then used by village school teachers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This model of developing technology around community-based instruction of educational resources is powerful and has broad applications. The reason Video that Tutored Instruction has tremendous going forward potential of lies in the it leverages both technology advances and traditional face-to-face interaction.

Another idea I would like to highlight is distance learning. I have worked with the distance learning through my department's Professional Master's Program. Some of our courses are offered through site-to-site internet conferencing. We have used a number of technologies in the ten year history of the program. Currently we're using Conference XP, a research project coming out of Microsoft.

There are tradeoffs between distance and face-to-face instruction, and I don't want to downplay the technological and pedagogical expertise necessary foster hiqh quality real time interaction. technology internet enables However, significant improvement that deserve mentioning. interesting successes have been in most four-way offered University courses we've between Washington, UC San Diego, UC Berkeley, and Microsoft. These have included classes in Public Policy for Computing and in Homeland Security. We have brought

together instructors in computer science, in law, in education. And the significance of these is that it allowed us to create educational experiences that were not available otherwise. And we were able to do this in a way where we were achieving more than just sharing of lecture content. We were able to share interaction across sites, that is the bringing together of people to create the new experiences, which I consider to be one of the real successes in our distance education project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Finally, my current project is to enhance traditional classroom instruction through the use of student devices. The vision of the classroom where students have network devices, laptops, personal digital assistance, tablets, or even cell phones, which interact with the instructor's device to create a learning environment which is rich both in spoken and electronic interaction.

The underlying technology is widely available and there are a variety approaches getting student devices into students' hands. The augmenting the classroom motivation behind with student devices is to achieve specific educational These can include active learning, classroom qoals. assessment, integration of student work into classroom discussion.

There are many educators and researchers pursuing projects based on such network classroom infrastructures. One major approach is classroom response systems, which have a growing record of documented success in terms of learning outcome. Notable uses of classroom response systems have been in physics and astronomy, where a pedagogy of peer instruction has been developed around students working cooperatively and using a response systems so that group responses can be compared and evaluated.

The project at the University of Washington that I'm running is the Classroom Presenter project. Classroom Presenter is a Tablet PC based classroom interaction system, where the instructor writes on electronic slides with digital ink and the slides are shared with the student devices.

The basic structure of a class session includes activities, where students write their answers on slides, and send them back via wireless to the instructor. The instructor then selectively shows student work anonymously on a public display. This turns out to be very powerful. It greatly increases contributions by students, especially from quieter students who have difficulty participating otherwise.

We have observed many different instructor-specific and subject-specific instructional

strategies being implemented with the help of the technology -- such as displaying answers from all students to demonstrate that they all have valid ideas, to analyzing particular contributions to be able to address specific key points and misconceptions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I have found it far more powerful to use slide contributions by students in order to make individual points than to rely on prepared examples. Designing a class for student interaction causes a fundamental shift in how the class preparation is thought about -- a shift from the traditional model resembling the writing of a speech to a model that starts with identifying learning goals and desired outcomes, then thinking about how to assess such outcomes, and finally connecting those with course content.

To summarize, there are many opportunities to deploy technology in higher education. This includes capturing and reusing educational content to broaden access, connecting people across distances to create opportunities that don't exist locally and using technology in the classroom to strategies that improve student learning. Technology pedagogy for all of these is still under development, and we are in a period where we have the

opportunity for experimentation and discovery.

From a personal point of view, the most rewarding part of working in this area has been seeing how colleagues at the University of Washington and at other institutions have used the technology in novel and unexpected ways to enhance student learning.

I thank you very much for the opportunity to express my views to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you very much. Richard, did you have a question you want to ask before you departed?

RICHARD VEDDER: I say, tell the state legislators the following: I say with the possible exception of prostitution, I know of no profession that has had absolutely no productivity advance in the 2,400 years since Socrates taught the youth of Athens. I think your approaches here are commendable. I think they deserve our attention.

I'm worried about the incentive systems within the higher education community that allows changes such as you are talking about to take place. I have no question in my mind that we have technology that can improve qualitatively the nature of instruction and may be, in the long run, will lead to lower cost. That may take longer to happen. But I have real reservations about whether the higher

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

education community with its lack of incentive to change, with its resistance to change, will move fast enough. And that is where my concerns are.

RICHARD ANDERSON: I will respond to that in saying that I do find a lot of conservatism at the University, but I also find tremendous energy on the part of individual faculty in experimentation innovation. I was at a conference last week sponsored by HP where they brought together educators from a wide range of disciplines and institution types. it is clearly remarkable at the individual instructor level the range of innovations that people were taking place of, taking advantage of technology experimenting with different ways of including people and different ways of experimenting with learning based technology.

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: Earlier we talked about the gap between the college readiness of high school graduates and what is required on college campuses. I personally think that all of the techniques that you described have great promise in another area, which is not improving higher education but improving the readiness of high school graduates. Have you at all seen any of the content that could be transportable to maybe that use to maybe increase the pipeline of kids who are not getting quality public

education?

where the model of combining pre-recorded materials with face-to-face instruction has always been very, very attractive to me, of being able to multi-purpose educational material. So I certainly think there is tremendous possibility for linking higher education with different levels around the facilitative instruction.

A big motivation for us looking at facilitated instruction was to bridge the gap of having a lack of qualified instructors and being able to transfer a certain amount of expertise. And one of the things that I mentioned that I found very, very heart warming was the degree that the facilitators then started to build on top of material.

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: I have a question.

Have you seen any examples of where this is being used?

RICHARD ANDERSON: I don't know the high school area that well.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: I read of an interesting meeting in San Diego last week, Joel Smith from Carnegie Mellon, Tom Magnanti from MIT, Dave Wiley from Utah. And one of the themes that came out of there, particularly from Carnegie Mellon people, is

_ ′

find from industry federal

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

that

while they can

government support for some of these experiments that are going on, it is very difficult to find support for the rigorous follow-up scientific assessment of what is the impact on cognitive development. Of course, Carnegie Mellon is one of the leaders in the world in neuroscience and cognitive science. And they have actually been able to apply it to their intelligent tutoring process and so forth. But unfortunately there doesn't seem to be available resources for many of these experiments to actually assess, in a rigorous scientific way, the impact on learning. Have you folks found the same thing? Do you have the same concerns about this?

RICHARD ANDERSON: Yes. It is very, very difficult to assess the long-term impact of these for a lot of reasons. One, the technology is changing fairly rapidly and we are operating by the seat of our pants, changing things as we go. Another is just lack of collaboration across disciplines. I'm not the one to rigorously evaluate long-term learning outcomes. That -- so collaborations with college of educations, assisting long-term programs that have expertise in evaluating.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: And their point was that organizations like NSF, Department of Education,

NIH, perhaps, really ought to build into their grants support for follow-up assessments by psychometrics and cognitive scientists and so forth to assess what is really happening.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RICHARD ANDERSON: I'm incredibly supportive of this.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: There is follow-up related to that within the University of Washington, what would you see as something that would happen in the university system that would, in fact, be the incentive to create that bottom relationship to be able to carry that out? Is that something that needs to occur from on-high policy outside of the university or do you think that is something that can happen?

RICHARD ANDERSON: First of all, I feel I have been very, very fortunate in having a supportive department in university structure to allow me to do something very, very non-traditional. And publishing in non-traditional places, doing So, locally there is certainly non-traditional work. a culture that allows this to emerge. That -- I think is developing flexible reward biggest thing faculty members, structures for that faculty's portfolio, that you're going to have some faculty that are leading research efforts, some that innovative in education, some

brilliant classroom teachers and having a diverse set of rewards for faculty and culture that is supportive of that.

And, you know, I have certainly seen this in our college of engineering. I have seen it in my department. And so I'm actually quite optimistic without having any solid basis for it.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: So where would you see, though, that sense of integration across disciplinary action that ought to occur? That is really the question I'm trying to drive.

RICHARD ANDERSON: So the cross-disciplinary level, you would it -- has to clearly kind of move up to the Provost level to recognize this is good. And the other thing that certainly enhances cross disciplinary interaction, is funding that is large enough to bring people together across disciplines.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Other questions? Dr. Anderson, that you very much for your insights and as Jim mentioned we heard a lot about this last week so this is really important. We see great opportunities here.

Our next speaker is one that I'm particularly pleased that she is here to present today because of my heritage as a Native American. That is

Pamela Silas, the Executive Director of the American

Indian Science and Engineering Society. Pam, the

floor is yours.

PAMELA SILAS: Thank you very much. Good afternoon. Thank you for this opportunity. As I have been listening this morning, I feel like the view or perspective I will represent is someone who has had to find creative ways to survive some of the challenges that have been facing our postsecondary institutions.

I myself am a member of the Menominee Tribe of Wisconsin, and am a first generation college student. I work for an organization called American Indian Science and Engineering Society. We have about 3,000 members on an annual basis. We have graduated, as part of our programs, about 17,000 Native Americans who have pursued degrees in science and engineering.

I know that it is a very complicated history to talk about American Indians in this country and some of the challenges that have faced us in particular around education. And a lot of that is tied to the very complex history. And so without having the time to go into that, I just want to remind you that it is a very complex history. There is a special trust relationship that exists with Native people that is very unique unlike any other minority group in this country. And a lot of that is driven by

federal policies.

There has been a longstanding list of barriers that have existed between Native Americans pursuing higher education that begins with a lot of educational policy around boarding school and a very large distrust with education systems in this country that have been designed around assimilation and forced relocation. So I want to kind of distinguish that there are some very unique circumstances.

I also want to say that there is a very small population compared to the main stream, there are three million self-identified Native Americans and that is including Native American and other races since that was a new category on the 2000 census. And that is a very small percentage of the overall population.

My perspective today is really from the experience of working with a group that deals with students seeking science and engineering degrees so take that percentage and go even smaller. It is important to highlight that because I think it would be a good idea to get some input from some of the other Native American groups around the country. 560 different tribes, probably 560 different perspective.

AISES has been around for about 27 years, and I really feel like our big job is to minimize the

risk with our students, both the risk that they face in accessing postsecondary education and minimizing the risk of attrition. I have heard a lot things this morning that I can echo in sentiment, the cost of education, someone mentioned earlier the big question, access for whom? I think that is really important to highlight the case of Native Americans. They -- a lot of our population is still in very rural and remote areas. Access to opportunities, role models around postsecondary education is minimal.

We do have about 128,000 undergraduates seeking education right now. And of that 70,000 are considered full time. So a lot of the comments around adult education are very relevant to our community as well. In the 70,000 that are considered full time, about half of them are in two-year institutions and 50 percent are in four-year main stream universities around the country from the Stanford's to the community college level.

I thought an interesting statistic, and I shared a lot of this in the written testimony that I presented, 31 percent of the freshmen in higher education are claiming to want to pursue science and engineering degrees. Now what happens with that huge attrition rate at the undergraduate level and then certainly it is compounded at the graduate and post

doc level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

It has been our experience that putting together what we call some of our minimizing the risk program, and I have made some recommendations in here that kind of echo some of the ones you have heard: Reaching Students Early and Creating Pathways Access support within their own communities is critical. This includes educational programing that creates continuity and networks between the students, the community and the STEM profession. We have to get to them, you know, we say even younger than high school, that there has to be some exposure to the opportunities early on.

We also believe that there are some very large cultural barriers, and I know this morning you haven't heard from some of the other ethnic groups but you'll -- echoing that experience of the Native Americans reclaiming, we say, traditional Indian scientific pursuits as an example of addressing some of the current socio-scientific issues.

We have a long tradition in our community of being scientists, agriculturists, engineers, and you don't, you know, see a lot of that being portrayed in the public. Lot of our medicines that we use nowadays have come from Native traditional science. So we think that making that connection early on in

1

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

young within our Indian communities will also inspire to continue to pursue that tradition of scientific accomplishment.

We also want to encourage our members to pursue research opportunities which bring their unique perspective, knowledge, and understanding which will help generate world, ideas new and innovation. We find in the attrition rate it isn't just some of your standard things that interfere with the success of Native students. It is having almost being like a foreigner in your own country. When you bring those perspectives and ideas, it is very hard to find research from an Indian perspective to validate some of the theories and innovative ideas that they want to contribute. We find this particularly at the graduate and the post doc levels that if you have a panel of post doc reviewers, they often stifle some of innovation because there isn't the research available from that community perspective to support your innovative idea and there certainly isn't faculty at the universities to support that perspective.

Here are some of the recommendations that I would like to make based on some of our experience. We do also echo that there needs to be an improvement in the quality of technically trained teachers. We have found that so many of our students, when you ask

3

4

5

67

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

them what are the two things that have motivated you to pursue a degree in science and engineering. And they inevitably say that they have met someone either in a professional capacity or within the classroom who excited them about science and engineering.

And the second thing is that somebody told them they needed to take those extra courses, those core courses in high school that would then qualify them for a program in college. Without this intervention of a person, they would not have made that path.

Having technically trained teachers then in science, math, and engineering and technology are really critical.

Secondly, we need to support more access programs, the whole bridging and, you know, the fact that we have to create this synthetically, I have heard some great ideas here today about if we were to connect. Someone mentioned that divide between high school and college is really not working anymore. we have found that whenever we have provided either programs in a competitive nature like having science fairs and engineering fairs or having a summer camp immerses them in some of the academic that cultural end, social support, we have found successes So instead of having to create

synthetically, it would be great to build that in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Thirdly, we need to connect students early on with professionals and private industry. And this is a challenge when some of our communities are very remote, for them to see what are the benefits of these skills both in serving as role models, providing skill building experience as mentors, and connecting the student to the relevance of their field of study. A lot of the science and engineering skill sets are outsiders still being provided by within the community, and I think we need to create opportunities to show students they can fill those roles.

Fourth, we have seen that establishing communities of support within the higher education institution, and this is by increasing the number of Native American faculty, and encouraging other faculty members to learn more about the American Indian and Alaska Natives that they are serving. Having some understanding and even in the financial aid offices, there are some tribes who have resources to provide educational support. But without the institution understanding how to access that, it becomes very difficult. And I also want to put a caveat in there that not all tribes have the resources to provide their own scholarships, which is a public problem right now.

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

And lastly, having opportunity to do research is really critical, I think, to the success of Native Americans in postsecondary education. this is important because there has to some connectivity, relevance aqain, to the of that education to their own community. Not everyone is going to go for a corporate job far away from home. There are people who do want to stay within their community whether it is an environmental issue, health care, you know, civil engineering. There is a lot of opportunities within their own community. So having a of research from a Native perspective encouraging Native Americans to do research relative to their own community seems to be a critical element in keeping them in school.

Lastly, I want to just recommend that an excellent study done by the there was Secretary of Education called Indian Nations at Risk. And this was 1991 and it was about 400 pages. Ι didn't include it in your background. But unfortunately a lot of the factors that they identified in that very extensive study still exist and haven't changed much. And they do begin, you with low teacher expectations, inadequate know, curriculum from K through 12 level, definitely the continuation of lack of role models and some overt and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

۷.

26

So I think I will definitely take some

subtle racism within our school systems. I wish I could sit here today and say a lot of those indicators have changed. They haven't.

And then there is one really bright star in what has helped in the current attainment of higher education. And that is the tribal college format. has only been in existence about 20 years, but there are now about 36 tribal colleges, three of them are four-year institutions. The rest are two. But they really have opened up a path to postsecondary education that did not exist before. Eighty-four percent of the students that go to tribal college interest in pursuing additional higher express an education. And so they're an important feeder into the main stream schools. And about 48 percent actually do transfer into an institution of higher education.

So it is also the tribal colleges and some of the efforts of group like AISES, American Indian Science & Engineering have also provided kind of a place to interact with the main stream opportunities. So finding Indian students or finding a co-hort that would be interested, the tribal colleges are a great place for recruitment and bridging into some of the other universities.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

questions. I think one of the disappointing things I have seen is that in 1976 we had 35,000 students enrolled in four-year universities and 20 years later we have 70,000. It is doubled but it certainly has not increased significantly.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thanks very much.

Questions?

JAMES DUDERSTADT: One of my colleagues was working over the last several years in a grant from the Kellogg Foundation use information technology to empower tribal colleges, Native American communities and so forth, have you seen any evidence of the technology itself as providing empowerment and participation?

Absolutely. Someone asked PAMELA SILAS: earlier if there were some examples. Definitely. the fact Almost that we were behind in technology has also created this new opportunity because there hasn't been a great deal of investment in infrastructure of laying cables particularly in the wireless trend. There new are some great There has been distance opportunities. learning, experimentations particularly going on, in Navaho nation that has proved to increase enrollment.

I think someone mentioned about kind of accumulation of a body of knowledge from previous

curriculum. That is also contributing because that becomes available to some of the other institutions around the country. The fact that, you know, I think I don't want to say that distance learning is the only tool technology that will move education forward because there is a definite misunderstanding that students are not willing to leave the reservation for opportunity. I can tell you 64 percent of our population live in urban centers and 50 percent of our undergraduates are attending universities outside of their community. So it is a tool and it definitely holds promise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We have been talking from a science and engineering perspective, creating additional curriculum improvements to the tribal colleges so that they can bridge to science and engineering. years there is, you know, you have to make sure there is pre-engineering courses. And because of technology we can actually connect with those tribal a much easier rate. colleges in Everybody scattered all over. So it does hold promise for, kind of, accelerating progress.

JAMES DUDERSTADT: The other great asset I have seen through visits is that some of the nation's premiere laboratories, Department of Energy laboratory and so forth, are located in the western United States

in areas with a very strong Native American presence.

And particularly for your interest in science and engineering, those are -- seem ideal resources to use, providing internship participation. Is investment in those kinds of activity adequate? Is there a lot more opportunity there that we can -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PAMELA SILAS: You know, I think that we have enjoyed -- AISES has definitely enjoyed that connection between the big laboratories, Los Alamos, Sandia, I will say 13 percent of our trained scientists and engineers are recruited by the government. It is a very large employer. And so there has been an increase in that.

Here in Seattle I met last night with some of the professional engineers and scientists and there is someone working at Boeing as electrical an engineer; at Cellular as a computer, masters computer information; and a civil engineer for Seattle Utility, public utilities, who has been an award-winning designer for water reclamation. So these aren't, again, our students are not just going back into remote communities, they are contributing to the field.

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: Pam, thank you for the comprehensiveness of your commentary. I'm curious, two of your recommendations rely on our own

1 communities to become resources. One is the access 2 programs and the other one is the faculty, increasing 3 Native American faculty, whether 4 African-American, Hispanic, Native American, one of 5 the things that I have heard from those that are 6 involved in fixing access or working on institutions is in effect. Harvard Business Review recently had an 7 article that people of color in corporate America tend 8 on not only their responsibilities, 9 10 community responsibilities as well leadership that they outside of the corporation and how infrequently 11 12 it's recognized. Many of the faculty that are of 13 color tell me they're tired. They not only carry their regular loads but became the de facto mentors 14 for all of those students that are like them and are 15 16 themselves personally committed to increasing access 17 from the areas in which they came.

Have you found any practice or any innovative way either of easing the strain on the few scarce resources we have as service model or ways of using different role models for your community?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PAMELA SILAS: Well, I think that I have definitely heard the same, but it is almost, I think the success has been the integration that you can't separate your school experience from that of your community. And I think we have found that as long as

we wove that into the experience of the student from a very early age, that's not, that shouldn't be considered a deficit. It is a blessing.

And if we're going to a global economy, we have to find within our institutions ways for diverse perspectives and diverse people to make it up through the ranks to the innovation. You know, I know we talked a lot about having common denominators, even English being our main language, but those common denominators, if they're kind of forced end up creating homogeny which isn't creative.

So I think we have to look at, it is a blessing to involve our communities and we ought to continue from having those examples of the students going out into their own community and saying what are the socio-economic issues here that you can one day be a part of resolving or play a role.

In fact, I have heard our college education being kind of similar to a coming of age ceremony, you know, after you come out of that college experience your understanding ought to be greater and your responsibility ought to be greater. So it is never been about the individual credential Indian students. It's been about taking their place in the community.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Well, that question of

tribal colleges, we have had lots of discussion about the increase cost of higher education across the nation. What is your sense of perspective what is going on in tribal colleges, the same rate of increasing cost, has it been relatively flat, or can you give us thoughts and ideas?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PAMELA SILAS: Well, there was a lot of discussion about the role of private and public and, I think, tribal colleges are a great model for you to look at. I think they are creating a successful graduation rate at a much lesser cost than any institution we have seen and they do leverage a lot of community private resources to do so. They have a private scholarship fund, the American Indian College Fund. They might be someone you ask to come and They have been able to leverage a lot of private dollars in support of Indian education. leverage private dollars build up to even the infrastructure because a lot of these buildings were nothing more than like a trailer at one point in time and are now have been moved into new facilities.

They're adding some of the laboratories that are required to increase their curriculum in science. They're adding some of the technology to help move people into the technology training. So they're actually, I would say, probably a good example to look

at of how they have created a community, private, public partnership and have pretty good success rates.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: So would the American Indian Higher Education Consortium be a good source of that information?

PAMELA SILAS: Definitely. Or the American Indian College Fund.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Other questions? Pam, thank you very much. This concludes our formal testimony for this morning. I would like to thank all of the presenters for your time and energy involved in coming forward here to the Commission members here today so we can take that into account as we continue to develop our thoughts and going forward to the Secretary.

And at this time we will break for lunch. We will break until 1:00 at which time we'll return. At that time we'll have the opportunity to hear public testimony. Each of the speakers will have the opportunity to speak for three minutes. And with that in mind, hopefully, we'll have sufficient time to hear everyone who has taken time to come out to participate today. Thank you very much. Enjoy your lunch.

[LUNCH RECESS]

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: We are together to the afternoon session for the National Dialogue on the

Secretary of Education Commission on the Future of Higher Education. My name is Rick Stephens. I'll be the acting chairman for our afternoon's activities. We have three hours set aside for public testimony from members of the community at large.

And what I would like to do before we kick off is give a little bit of background about what the Commission is about, ask each of the Commissioners who are here today to give a short background about who they are and their perspective; and then I'll turn the floor to Vickie to describe the process we're going to go through this afternoon.

As many of you know the Secretary of Education Margaret Spellings announced the establishment of a National Dialogue. The Secretary of Education's Commission on the Future of Higher Education started in September of this last year. She chose the Commission ensuring that America's system of higher education remains the finest in the world and continues to meet the needs of America's diverse population by extending opportunity, innovation, and economic growth.

The Commission is focusing on four key areas: Accessibility, affordability, accountability, and quality and will submit our final report to the Secretary by August of this year. We're about a third

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_

25

26

of our way through our journey of our Commission's work having held a number of meetings. And this is our first, quote, public hearing agenda. We have another one that will occur in Boston, I believe, it is later in March.

We have no conclusions that we have come to at this point and we recognize that while our role is provide report to the Secretary with recommendations in а number of also areas, we recognize the importance of higher education America is a responsibility that all of us have, not just the Secretary. So part of role our Commissioners is help provide the Secretary in recommendations that impact her policy and budget decisions but also make sure we support those recommendations going forward so we can ensure success again, of higher education in America.

With that as background, Jim would you please make comments about your background?

JAMES DUDERSTADT: Yes. I spent the last 40 years as faculty member for science and engineering at the University of Michigan and for ten years I was president of the University of Michigan.

BOB MENDENHALL: I'm Bob Mendenhall. I'm president of Western Governors University, which is a non-profit private on-line university that grants

degrees based on demonstrated competency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SARA MARTINEZ TUCKER: And I'm Sara Martinez, I head up the Hispanic Scholarship Fund. do work primarily in two areas, strengthening the Hispanic students for college pipeline οf and increasing the retention, all with the doubling the rate of Hispanic's earning their college degrees.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Rick Stephens, I am the senior vice president for Human Resources and Administration for the Boeing Company. I'm based in Chicago, Illinois, at our corporate headquarters and responsible for making sure we are able to attract, retain, develop the 153,000 employees of Boeing Company that provide great product and services that many of us have the opportunity to participate on every day when we go from point A to point B.

Vickie, would you like to take us through the process, please?

VICKIE SCHRAY. I'm Vickie Schray, deputy director with the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Ed with the US Department of Education.

As Rick shared with you, this is one of many meetings where we're seeking public input, ideas, models, recommendations regarding the work of this Commission. We published, in our federal registry

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

notice, the process for today and I wanted to just briefly share that with you and specifically walk you through how you will provide your testimony to the Commission members.

We provided through the federal registry notice an opportunity to pre-register to provide testimony. And many, many people did. If you have not registered on site to provide testimony, please step outside and make sure that you speak to one of our staff. They would be happy to accommodate you.

We had asked that you provide our staff with written testimony and also would like to request that if possible you e-mail that testimony to us as this will became part of the public record of the work of this Commission.

In terms of today, this is very much a like a Congressional Hearing. What we have asked that you do is I'll be calling you up by number. have to tell you up front because of pre-registration, it is not in a sequential process. So please listen for your number. We would like you to approach the introduce yourself table and to the Commission At that point I will hit the green light. You will have three minutes to provide your remarks. When you have met your three minute time limit, I will hit the red button and if you continue to speak --

want to share with you that we're going to have to cut the mikes. We already have 60 people signed up to provide testimony and we're obligated to end this meeting by 4:00 so we want to make sure we allow everybody the opportunity to provide their testimony.

Finally, if for some reason, the number of individuals interested in providing testimony exceeds our time frame, we encourage you to provide us with your written testimony. We're happy to accept that at any time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: So Vickie will be our, as I said, moderator and she will also be the one that invites you by number to come approach the podium, again, help us again with your name and background as a brief introduction. That will give us insights about the perspective of your comments.

VICKIE SCHRAY: The first person or number is 15. And while number 15 is approaching, the next person to provide testimony is number 24. And the next is number 28. So if you would like to be prepared it will help us in terms of getting everybody.

CAROLYN HAYEK: Well, thank you for giving me an opportunity to be here. My name is Carolyn Hayek, and I am the Washington State President of American Association of University Women, which is an

1

_

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

organization which has been active in this state for over 100 years working for equity and education for all women and girls.

I want to address the issue of educational climate for undergraduate students. In addition to studying academic subjects, most students today are learning to deal with issue of sexual harassment. organization has recently published this report which I provided a summary copy of it for each of you. research, which was done just this past year by Harris Interactive shows that two-thirds of all college students today, male and female reporting are incidents of sexual harassment in their college experience. It appears that verbal and physical abuse are becoming a way of life on our campus.

Males often view this behavior as joking or kidding around and are not necessarily upset about it, although in some cases males are extremely upset. Women, however, are reporting feelings of embarrassment and fear often to the point of changing college routines or even the school that they're attending to avoid being victimized.

My daughter is a college sophomore so I decided to test this research on her. She first said oh, no, that is not a problem here, which is a typical response we seem to hear when you first ask about it.

But when you start asking about specific things, has this happened, has this happened, has this happened, then she agreed that she had observed many of these things on her own campus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So just to give a first-hand experience, she writes to me in an e-mail. I'd say the most harassment I'm aware of that is occurring against gay students. There have been a few incidents in the time I have been here. For example, "faq" was written on the door of an openly gay student. And then she goes on to say: And I know of an incident of a girl who I am friends with who is being really distressed by sexual harassment by a male student a grade ahead who had a track record of this kind of behavior. result was that she went with friends to one of the deans and reported him. And they issued a sort of retraining order that he wasn't allowed to be in the dorm she lived in or within a certain number of feet on penalty of some ambiguous punishment.

So anyway, on behalf -- am I done? On behalf of all students, especially gay and lesbian students and all women, I urge you to become familiar with the emotional and financial cost presented by sexual harassment and the consequences to society if this type of behavior is not significantly dealt with on our campuses.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 24.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

KESHE LEE: Good afternoon. My name is Keshe Lee. I am a graduate of Dillard University in New Orleans, Louisiana, majored in international business and Japanese studies. I currently live in I am a commissioner for the Human Rights And in addition to that I serve Commission. Director of Student Services for One World Now, which a qlobal leadership program for minority and underrepresented students here in the city's public school system.

I'm coming to specifically address the vitality of this nation's historically Black colleges and universities. And I want to make a specific point that the Commission includes this in your report, that the Secretary makes an intentional effort to include not only resources for our HBCU, but also a written/verbal exclusive commitment to support the importance of our institutions.

mentioned I graduated from Ι Dillard University in New Orleans. We were one of universities that was most damaged, Ι think, Hurricane Katrina, and right now my university is going through a process, a very difficult process for a small historical Black college, to maintain its status of vitality.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I don't know if you are familiar with the environment in New Orleans or if you spent significant amount of time in the city prior to the hurricane but you may be aware that New Orleans was a very poor city and the universities and colleges in that city are very vital to the community. Likewise, as far as role models and for young people who live there providing community service and helping create in the city of New Orleans, some other way outside of the poverty net that existed.

At some point, my president or the former president of Dillard University was the head President Bush's Commission on Historical Colleges, which we now know is not doing anything. would like to see for that commission to be reinstated and reinstate it with strong leadership, specific plan with financial backing for resources for HBCU, and specifically that the Commission address Dillard University, Xavier University, Tulane College in Mississippi who were specifically affected Hurricane Katrina because there is an immediate need for resources and scholarship dollars to increase the enrollment of students in those schools.

Dillard University had an enrollment of 2,500 students before. Right now we are at 1,000 students. And next year, not certain how the fall

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

class will look, what the fall class will look like, but quite frankly if the University doesn't have tons of money to give scholarships it is going to be very difficult to attract students back to the University.

In conclusion, that is my statement, overall supporting the historically Black colleges, the public and state and private universities in addition to having a specific need to address those HBCU's who are affected by the Katrina disaster. I will submit a written statement by e-mail to the Commission for your records.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 28.

THEODORE KASSIER, Ph.D. L. Good I'm Ted Kassier, Senior Associate Vice afternoon. President for Academic Affairs with the University of Alaska, statewide system. Formerly Dean of College of and Sciences at the University of Alaska, Arts Anchorage; and Provost State University of Alaska, Anchorage as well.

I just wanted to echo and synthesize a good bit of what I heard this morning. I don't have written remarks prepared but -- because I wasn't sure I was going to make any but decided to take advantage of the opportunity and I'll e-mail them to you.

I do think that this is sort of sequence of related observations. There are clearly

That is why you exist as a Commission. Just devoting more money to all of the situations is likely only going to produce only more of the same. So in that context, I just like to encourage you to be bold in your recommendations and temper the boldness, of course, as was also pointed out this morning with some realism. And in that context, suggest that you be careful not to throw out the baby with the bath water.

There is a great deal of good in U.S. higher education. It has been pointed out that there has been no productivity advances in 2,400 years.

U.S. higher education though in universities are, you know, institutions that have lasted more than a thousand years so they have a good deal of staying power on the one hand and a good deal of resistance to change on the other. Savor the good and try to change, try to make changes and provide incentives for changing what needs to be changed.

Then insofar as some specific suggestions as you do everything that I just described, stay away from one liners as the basis for making policy. It is very easy to fall into and it doesn't lead any place good usually. Pay attention to the needs of part time students, facilitate the needs of part time students who are so largely overlooked in the current construct

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

with financial aid, a number of other measures that are applied. You take care of the working young. You take care of adults by doing that, and it is a real need for meeting the future needs of our society.

And then finally, make sure that whatever you do and recommend is scaleable, that is to say what works for Chicago or New York, and I say that as native New Yorker myself, make sure that whatever you suggest and propose will work for the very scarcely populated western states such as Alaska and a number of others. That is it.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 41. Number 45.

DIXIE SWENSON: If my voice goes away, I apologize. I am going through bronchitis. This happens to be afflicting this part of the country, I guess, as well as lots of others.

My name is Dixie Swenson and I'm the federal public policy chair for the American Association of University Women of Washington State. AAUW's 2005 to 7 public policy program states that AAUW is committed to supporting a strong system of education promotes public that gender fairness, equity, and diversity and advocates increased support for and access to higher education for women and other disadvantaged populations. With changes in the workforce over the last 120 years, higher education is, of course, becoming less of a luxury and more of a necessity. By the year 2020, it is estimated there will be another 15 million new jobs requiring postsecondary education. We heard a lot of this discussion this morning, so just sort of reiterating that. And as the skill requirements of jobs continues to increase so should the access to postsecondary training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

would like to address the issue affordability for a minute because if higher education isn't affordable, then access is essentially denied. The majority of non-traditional students, those who are part time working or parenting are women. the 1999 to 2000 school year, nearly 73 percent of undergraduates were considered non-traditional, meaning of course that they're older, they work, or attend school part time. may non-traditional students only have opportunity to return to school one class at a time.

But there are many barriers to this kind of -- to returning to school and managing to get a degree. AAUW believes that such students should have access to financial aid while ensuring that the integrity of the federal aid program is maintained. While PELL grants are available to students who attend school less than part time, most loan programs require

students to be enrolled at either the part time or full time level. AAUW believes that federal student loans should be available to all students willing to make a commitment to higher education even if they

have to chip away at that dream one class at a time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

As you well know the largest program under Title IV of the Higher Education Act is the PELL grant program, which is intended to serve as the foundation for all financial aid and was designed to help low income students and their families achieve their dream of a college education. Almost half of all PELL grant recipients classified as independent for tax purposes make less than \$10,000 per year. And over 90 percent had income below \$30,000. AAUW supports expanding the program to make it available year round to non-traditional students and increasing the maximum award level.

At the same time, AAUW supports reduction of the work penalty; that is, the income protection allowance, allowing single financially independent students to keep more of their income when determining eligibility for financial aid. Because many non-traditional students have to work full time to support their family, they often make too much money to qualify for a significant financial aid package. Just parenthetically, I was talking to my daughter who

has some experience in early childhood education and said okay, if I wanted to -- if I needed full-time day care, because I wanted to go to school full time, how much would that cost? And as I looked at the cost of full time day care, and then I looked at what the maximum allowable response -- subsidy was, it wasn't even close. So if I'm also hit on the income side then I just can't do it. So the current income protection allowance just doesn't reflect the actual cost of living for a dependent of parent age students.

We support raising the income protection allowance to allow students to work without that income counting against their financial aid package.

I'm providing you with copies of these remarks. Students who work hard, support their families and trying to increase their skills and climb the career ladder should be given every possible assistance. And I thank for coming to Seattle. We appreciate it.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 46.

TINA BLOOMER: Good afternoon and thank you. I appreciate and support the comments that were just made by the way. I'm Tina Bloomer and I am with the Washington State Board for Community and Technical Colleges. I'm the director of student achievement. Our system has an interest in how better to serve low

income and underserved adults and in their impact on the economy.

Our research bears out the need for this attention. Educational attainment and unemployment are closely linked. The society's economic health is directly related to the economic attainment of its members, how are we doing with the least skilled. One out of every four persons age 18 to 24 has no high school diploma. More than one-third of the working age population in Washington, ages 25 to 49 have a high school education or less. Non-English speakers 25 or older doubled in the last census and nearly half of all Latino Hispanic 25 years or older have less than a high school education.

A study conducted by our office tracked 35,000 working age adults who came to community and technical colleges with a high school diploma or less or were non-English speaking. The study identified an economic attainment tipping point of one year of college level credit and credential which would give students a career path way in the future earnings up to \$8,500 annually.

Education systems are currently designed to meet the needs of traditional students. It is clear that the youth of the traditional lens does not address the need of low income and underserved

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

population. We need to use a new non-traditional lens with which to view this group and to design policies and systems with this new view.

In spring of 2004 the State Board for Community Technical Colleges, Adult Basic Education, and Workforce Education offices began working on ten innovative projects which tested the traditional notion that students must first pursue basic skills before they can begin workforce training. IBEST (phonetic) brings together basic skills and professional technical faculty to plan and provide instruction together in the classroom in a way that results in both literacy and workforce skills gain.

In the demonstration project, students earn five times more college credits on average and 15 times more likely to complete workforce training than were traditional basic skills during that same time frame. IBEST programs increase the access to workforce training for basic skills student. The students saw the opportunity and they took it. Carl Perkins and federal basic skills funding were able to be used to pay for this type of programming.

Both funding streams have played a critical roll in being able to serve low skilled adult learners that we were concerned with the administration zeroing out Perkins in the 2007/09

budget. Also financial aid has been an issue. It was designed with the traditional student in mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Financial aid is not available for basic skills portion of IBEST programming. Many more community and technical college students taking IBEST programming have multiple dependents and are more likely to be working either full or part time than traditional college students. This has created access issues due to challenging in developing financial aid packages for students participating in this type of program. We ask that you reconsider the financial structure with this in mind. By increasing access to this type of effective programming, you will be increasing opportunity for a better life for these students and their families. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 49.

MARC TOLBERT: Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Marc Tolbert. I come here representing well, first off, the corporation that I help co-found back in Kentucky, Fantax (phonetic). I'm co-founder, CIO of that organization. We have established next step programs throughout Kentucky with this specific goal of helping people help themselves. We don't charge anything for our clients. charge anything for our We don't help the individual organization that we work with.

my co-founder, we don't draw a salary on this thing. We have been doing it since '97.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I'm going to be sitting here kind of like that big elephant that was invited to the party or crashed it and everybody is kind of dancing around it and yet a pun is intended. One of the things that I haven't seen addressed here yet through all of the testimony given, is the fact that we're only looking at a very small percentage of the population that is even able to make it to college or through college. We have had huge numbers of people that are dropping out of high school. Over a quarter of our freshman make it out. I'm sorry folks, don't that disgusting. When it comes to college, the freshman classes, statistics I have seen range from 50 to 60 percent of them don't make it out of the colleges.

We're looking at a majority of our society that needs support to help them accomplish their immediate needs and get through life. For some that includes college. For others that includes additional education to help them advance in the workforce. are not addressing those needs of society. ashamed of ourselves. should be Ι don't individuals coming here and doing much more going, we need help with what we're doing. We need help with my job. With my organization.

my

for

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

organization or my family. We'll take care of We'll keep doing it. The ourselves. We have. individuals in our programs, they take of themselves. They built communities We need more of this. themselves. We need more communities. We need more neighborhoods. We need more families. Until we start addressing these needs, we're just looking for band aids for symptoms. Thank you.

asking

for

help

I'm

not

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 52. Number 53. I should mention for those individuals that are not here when their number is called, if we have time remaining at the end of the session we'll try to fit them in.

SANDRA ELMAN: Good afternoon. Thank you for coming to Seattle and opportunity to appear before you. My name is Sandra Elman. I'm the President of the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities, which is the accrediting commission located here in Redmond, Washington. We wish you never left. behalf of the Northwest Commission on Colleges and fellow regional accreditation Universities and my directors, I want to encourage you all important work of this Commission, to please call upon the regional accreditation community, the hallmark of quality assurance and continuous improvement in our

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

country to work with you all on this very important endeavor, which the Secretary of Education has wisely chosen to initiate.

We would encourage you to ask the following questions deliberations, in your important deliberations. What does it mean to be an educated person in complex inter-dependent, а multi-cultural world; and what does it mean to be a contributing member of our society?

Second, what role does higher education play in preparing these people?

And third, for you all in particular, what is the appropriate, appropriate, role of the federal government in supporting higher education's pursuit?

We offer, briefly, the following notions that we ask that you and your esteemed colleagues keep in mind. One of the hallmarks of American higher education is that it is a decentralized system where partnerships between K through 20, business and industry, government have thrived over the years. hope you will do everything so that we can retain these kinds of possibilities for innovation and change in a decentralized system which is our hallmark.

Two, regional accreditation is a powerful, perhaps untapped, means of accountability and quality assurance which might be likened to the

notion of Kizon in the corporate world of continuous improvement. Work with us so that together we can achieve our mutual goals for higher education.

Third, recognize the diversity of our range of thousands of institutions in these United States and even the diversity of our institutions within one sector.

And lastly, in the public policy world, we know that different problems require different solutions so we encourage you, the members of the Commission and the staff and your colleagues, to consider that your preferred outcomes, whatever they may be, take into consideration both the intended and perhaps the unintended consequences of what your recommendations may be.

Lastly, the regional accrediting community and my fellow regional accreditors have worked closely with Congress, Congressman Bater and others during the course of this last few years on reauthorization. We look forward to working with your Commission and with the Secretary of Education to fulfill your goals. Thank you for this time. And good luck.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 57.

JEAN HALES: I would like to extend my welcome to you. I'm Jean Hales, President and CEO of the South Snohomish County Chamber of Commerce. And

I'm here -- I'm impassioned about all ranges of education from birth on, but I'm here today specifically to talk about community colleges in relationship to the needs of a business community.

Normally I'm much more of an advocate for less government but in this case, government needs to take a strong role in making education its number one priority. The future of our nation is dependent upon a strong educational system, and we are in the midst of what I think is a monstrous educational crisis. Our ability to compete on a global level is proportionate, directly proportionate, to the quality of our educational system.

Bringing this down to a local level we know that economic vitality is contingent upon family wage jobs. Many of these jobs require access to ongoing skills development. Several of our local high tech companies report that over 60 percent of their workforce is foreign born. In and of itself that is not alarming. It has been a factor throughout the history of our country that there is always an influx of foreign born.

But the underlying factor is that U.S. enrollment in science and math is declining and that is alarming. And when you consider that countries such as China are developing their own educational

institutions and jobs at an accelerated rate, then you're looking at a potential double whammy. At some point, we may not even have the pool of foreign born to draw upon.

Our nation needs to ensure we have the creative minds needed to lead us into the future. Nothing against a liberal arts education, I'm a product of that myself, however it takes 20 years to orient a student towards math or science. We cannot afford to delay making multi-dimensional changes to incentivise our people toward science and math careers.

We applaud our community colleges for the creative methods they've adopted to stretch their very limited resources. But the band aid approach is not the ultimate solution. There is a need for a cohesive comprehensive approach to solving our educational challenges.

Number one is the issue of access. More capacity needs to be developed at the higher education level -- am I finished, okay -- to address the issues of young people just out of high school, displaced workers, and workers in need of ongoing skills development.

Second, is affordability; and number three, is responsiveness to the business community.

uniquely suited to provide specific training and we applaud the success, for example, of Edmonds Community College's composite training program in support of Boeing. It is a model for the development of future programs.

On one additional item. I sit on our

summary, our community colleges

On one additional item. I sit on our local workforce development board, and I implore you to advocate for fixing the Workforce Investment Act. The confusion, conflict, turmoil that it has generated have diminished the impact of a very positive impact that it can have at the local level. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 58, 58.

KATHLEEN ROSS: You want me to sit down?

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: However you want.

That's fine. Sitting is fine. Or you can stand.

VICKIE SCHRAY: The only thing, you need to speak into the mike because this is being transcribed. I apologize.

ed, so like to stand up. My name is Kathleen Ross.

I'm the President of Heritage University which is an independent four-year institution located in Toppenish, Washington, right over those mountains about three hours on the Yakima Indian Reservation.

We're in the middle of a very low income

1 county with a very high Mexican immigrant population. Heritage serves the student body of more than 1,400 2 students and about 65 percent of those undergraduates 3 come from families that earn less than \$20,000 a year. 4 5 95 percent of the full-time undergraduates do qualify Half of our undergraduates are 6 for financial aid. 7 Hispanic and 15 percent are Native American. ourselves listed in the 2005 U.S. News and World 8 serving the highest percentage of PELL 9 Report as recipients, 80 percent, of any masters institution in 10 11 the entire western United States. So as you can see, I'm speaking to you today from the perspective of low 12 13 income, first generation to college families.

Your Commission has already discussed two issues that affect access for these families and that are very important to me. That is what I would like to talk about.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

First, the growth of merit aid relative to need-based aid; and second, how we can increase need-based aid through public/private partnership.

Regarding the merit based aid, I know that you have already, the Commission has already discussed this, expressed its concerns, and I would just like to say that I believe your concern is very well-founded. There is recent data, again, showing there is an increasing amount of institutional aid going to upper

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

income students. And over all merit aid has increased at four times the rate of need-based aid in the last few years. This is troubling because merit aid often goes to higher income students who would have attended and completed college anyway. For every such scholarship we're losing a needy student who drops out or never enrolls due to lack of resource.

At Heritage only about one percent of our institutional aid is given solely on the basis of merit. And that is because our staff, included, meet with and counsel students every day who have talent and no resources. We know firsthand the painful experience of watching a talented young person because there simply is away need-based aid. And usually the student is already working 20 to 40 hours a week off campus. students on the Heritage campus, mind you, where our tuition rate is less than half that of the typical private college or university. So if we're ever to close the gaps in educational attainment between the rich and the poor in our nation, we have to increase need-based aid.

Now that said, I am very much in favor of rewarding merit, of course. But there are a great many ways to recognize students for their academic achievement with non-monetary awards and honors.

25 26 mention the Presidential Scholar Program, through which high achieving high school seniors are honored by the President. I believe we could make much more creative use of such incentives and I'm recommending that the Commission explore some more innovative ways to recognize merit without wasting those precious scholarship dollars where need is not a factor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The second point I would like to make refers to increasing that need-based aid. My experience and research tell us that increasing this will require a major renewed effort on several fronts. It is going to take the federal government, state legislatures, colleges, and private entities. So in light of that, I want to recommend strongly that the Commission do everything in its power to encourage all of these players to step up to the plate.

believe the best that solution increasing grant aid lies in fostering greatly expanded public/private partnerships. That is why the advisory committee on student financial assistance, on which I served for the last three years, worked with Congress to add to the currently pending reauthorization of higher ed, the creation of a new national partnership program for access and A new national partnership would make persistence. available to states, federal matching grants

incentives to create partnerships with institutions, private organizations, or individuals in order to increase need-based aid. And I urge you to back that.

There is one --

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: We're out of time.

KATHLEEN ROSS: There is one additional factor I would like to mention, very briefly, and that is the need for early assurance of aid, getting students and their families knowing early in high school that aid will be available. And I ask you to see what you could do that that would happen in a much more comprehensive way. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 56.

AMY STEIN: Members of the Commission, thank you for providing the Anti-defamation League the opportunity to submit comments to the Commission today. I'm Amy Stein, a member of executive committee of ADL specific northwest region. I am accompanied today by Michael Lieberman, ADL's Washington DC counsel.

The Anti-Defamation League is one of the nation's oldest human relations organization founded in 1913 to advance good will and mutual understanding among Americans of all creeds and races and to combat racial and religious prejudice in the United States

and abroad. We are the nation's leaders in the development of effective programs to confront anti-semitism, violent bigotry and prejudice. We will be submitting a sample of our educational anti-bias material to the Commission.

We applaud the Commission's focus of issues of access, accountability, affordability, and quality. Our submission today, however, is in response to the last question presented in the notice of public hearing. How well are universities meeting specific national needs? Sadly, we see that more and more students feel marginalized, not accepted because of their immutable characteristics, their race, their national origin, their sexual orientation, their disabilities, their religion.

For the over 4,000 colleges and universities in the United States, diversity presents both challenges and opportunities. The demographic composition of America's college students is more diverse today than at any other time in our nation's history.

The student diversity of today's colleges and universities, however, goes far beyond that of just race and ethnicity. It includes gays and lesbians, people with disabilities, and a multitude of religions. As a Jewish organization that fights all

forms of discrimination and bias, the ADL speaks with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

a particular expertise when it comes to anti-Semitism.

In recent decades Jews have found that the American college campus is a positive environment. Gone are the days of quotas limiting the number of Jewish students at our nation's top colleges and universities. It is surprising, then, that American college and university campuses have emerged as a flash point for anti-Jewish animus and a site for expression and dissemination of anti-Semitism. incidents are tied to anti-Israel activities rallies and speakers. Ιt is critically important distinguish between anti-Semitism activities on campus and anti-Jewish activity. We certainly do not believe that every anti-Israel action is a manifestation of anti-Semitism. But we're concerned about organized anti-Israel activity and propaganda campuses which can create an atmosphere in which Jewish students and faculty feel under siege.

Anti-Semitism is just one example of the increasing problem we see with bias incidents on our university and college campuses. These incidents are at the heart of the issue when we're trying to make the educational environment more welcoming, an environment more conducive to learning and study. We hope the Commission will seek pro-active programs to

combat bias and discrimination as part of the integrated response and critical component in proving the effectiveness of our universities in meeting national needs.

Beyond the campus and community education and training efforts that can address these problems, we urge the Commission to advocate for more effective government monitoring of anti-Semitism and other hate crimes by eliminating discrepancies in federal campus hate crime data collection efforts --

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Five seconds.

AMY STEIN: -- between the Department of Education and the FBI. We urge you to see anti-bias and anti-discrimination and education as an essential part of the effort to improve higher education in the United States.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Thank you. Number 44.

GAYLA DIMENT: Good afternoon. My name is Gayla Diment and I am a professor of Slavic languages and literature at the University of Washington. And I'm also a member of the American Association of University Professors Committee on Government Relations and I'm testifying on their behalf this afternoon.

The American Association of University

Professors or AAUP is the national organization serving the academic profession and college university faculty members. Founded in 1915, the association has some 45,000 faculty members at colleges and universities throughout this country and has long been viewed as the authoritative voice of the academic profession. Since its founding, the main work of the association has been defending the principles academic freedom and mechanisms to ensure principles such as shared governments and due process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We welcome the opportunity to join in the National Dialogue and discuss the critical issues of access for students, the fit between students and institutions, cost prices and quality of higher education, and the related question of how colleges and universities are meeting specific national needs. These are vital questions and ones that faculty confront every day of their working lives.

In the last several years AAUP's committee on government relations has concentrated its focus on the critical issues facing higher education. For the past two sessions we have also been lobbying Congress for the re-authorization of the Higher Education Act. We have identified four key themes that must be part of the renewal of the HEA. The core goal of the HEA from the beginning has been to increase access to

college and university education and the re-authorization proposal must build on that goal.

Equally important is the quality of higher education programs. Increased access to lower quality programs will not help institutions, faculty, or students. At the same time, the HEA must recognize and promote the diversity of our higher education system, the diversity among populations within the system, as well as among institutions and institutional missions.

Finally, the uncertainly and tension of the world today make it a special vehicle for the HEA to support the openness of the academic community. Doing so is the only way to ensure the continued excellence of our nation's colleges and universities.

During 2004, the committee studied the financial pressure colleges and universities are facing in the wake of the national recession and state budget crises early in the okay -- I submitted a brochure for the Commissioner.

And since I have limited time I just want to say as a specialist in foreign languages I'm encouraged by the increased attention given to the study of some languages in recent proposals; however in situations where we see a welcome infusion of federal funds to encourage the study of foreign

1 languages, there are some serious descriptions
2 connected with the programs.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Time --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

GAYLA DIMENT: I will submit my full testimony. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 60.

CYNTHIA JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, my name is Cynthia Johnson and I would like to share information about an innovative program at Bellevue Community College in Bellevue, Washington. I'm the Director of Venture, the first degree status program in the nation for students challenged with intellectual disabilities, i.e. mental retardation and other related disability. The program approval is currently pending with the Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities. This is a very bold change in higher education. Venture addresses student populations for whom there is little, if any, serious postsecondary option.

We believe that higher education is the ticket to success, that when given the opportunity students rise to the challenge and that postsecondary special education echo civil rights issues in our nation's past with regard to access and attitudinal barriers. We believe this is the last bastion of prejudice in higher education. Venture addresses

attitudinal barriers by providing students significant postsecondary option tailored to ability and potential. I have personally heard from 48 states across the nation, including colleges, universities, national organizations, parents, and students regarding their desire to replicate the Venture program in their area or region. People are desperate for better.

The American education system The inclusion of intended to be a dead end road. with disabilities students and elementary and secondary education has not automatically transferred to their inclusion in higher education. focuses on a carefully-sequenced three-year curriculum and 48 integrated courses that emphasizes academics triangulated with career development and/or social life skills and 90 credit program. It adopts this approach based on a plethora of industry and workforce reports that show that successful workers of today possess not only job specific technical skills but problem solving, critical thinking, strong and communication and team work capability. The goal of Bellevue Community is Venture at to postsecondary highly motivated young adults with intellectual challenges for the workforce.

Recommendations: The U.S. Department of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Education funded web site wwwthinkcollege.net lists approximately 100 programs and colleges and universities across the nation that are working to address these issues for students with intellectual disability. Only Venture has broken down the barriers of degree status.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Funding for the students and programs is also a real barrier to progress. While students at these programs are supported by local school districts choosing funds from IDEA and other sources such as vocational rehabilitation, the largest source of funding is the parent's student -- the student's parents which are already financially strapped. Most of the students are not able to access student financial aid.

I would ask on behalf of hundreds educators, parents and students that have e-mailed and called, that the U.S. Department of Education and Congress take a number of actions to make it possible with intellectual disabilities for students in postsecondary education. The participate Department should provide funding to develop model accreditation criteria for degree -- I'm nervous -for degree programs and for the program development and replication of other programs at colleges and universities.

We also ask that the Higher Education Act be amended to permit eligibility for financial aid for these students. The Higher Education Act should specify that students who successfully complete six credit hours or quote, the equivalent course work has the quote, ability to benefit from higher education. This language together with the model accreditation criteria would make it possible for students with intellectual disabilities enrolled in degree or certificate programs to access student financial aid.

The final IDEA regulations also need to clarify that school districts may use IDEA funds to partner with colleges and universities.

In conclusion we echo the statement of President John F. Kennedy: All of us do not have equal talent, but all of us should have equal opportunities to develop our talent. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 61.

JENNIFER PAE: Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Pae. I'm the elected Vice President from the United States Student Association, the nation's oldest and largest national student association representing millions of students across the country. As a coalition of student government and student leaders across the country we are here today to express our concerns for the future of higher education not only

2 future

as college students but as -- in high hopes to be the future leaders of an educated and competitive workforce.

We believe that issues of access and affordability should be addressed at both the state and the federal level as a joint partnership to provide success for our nation's college graduates. I'm here today to address this issue of access and to ensure that the Commission before us today would prioritize these concerns and make a sound report.

While tuition and college costs play a significant role in affordability in college, there is another factor that is just as important. The federal government is in the path of divestment from higher education at an alarming rate. The program hasn't been increased in over four years, not even for inflation. And in addition, Congress recently voted to cut 12 billion dollars from the student loan program making it significantly more expensive for students and their repayment period.

And finally just yesterday the President released his budget cutting many academic programs and crucial state matching grant program entitled LEAP and Thurgood Marshall, a graduate based grant. Furthermore, the program at SEOG once again been proposed for level funding, which is just as harmful.

Almost 200,000 high school graduates forego higher education each year due to financial constraints. For many low and moderate income students economic barriers directly impact their access to higher education. Even after receiving all eligible state and federal aid, the average low income student experiences \$3,800 in unmet need while the average moderate income student has \$2,250 in unmet need.

Students need to work longer hours and assume increasing amount of debt to pay for college. Nearly half of all working full time students work more than 25 hours per week, and upon graduation the average four-year public college student leaves college with more than \$17,500 in loan. As you can see we're facing a dramatic shift in priorities and jeopardizing the success of today's college students.

Federal grant aid and loans are key components of financial aid for students around the country. However, as balance between grants and loans continues to slip, excessive loan debt becomes a greater hindrance to students attempting to compete in a global economy. We're in a state of graduating an entire generation of educated youth in debt. That is why I'm here.

As the Commission on the Future of Higher Education you're all in a uniquely powerful position

to tell the federal government that you surveyed the land. Everywhere you went, college students had the same message, expand grant aid and make loans manageable. We're here asking you today to recognize the federal role and to keep the doors of higher education open to all students and to please stop this divestment because it has a significant impact on whether people go to college and stay in college.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I thank you so much for your time and we encourage dialogue to continue and we are open to discuss these issues in further detail. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 62. Please disregard the intermittent red light. The one you are concerned about is the solid red light.

TYSON JOHNSTON: Good afternoon. My name is Tyson Johnston, and I'm a proud member of the Quinault Indian Nation and I am an undergrad at the University of Washington studying pre-business and communications. I'm currently active in the only major Native American group on campus called First Nations and serve as this year's treasurer. I also serve on the ASUW student senate representing American Indians in the sciences and engineering society. I have served as a delegate at the National Congress American Affiliated Indians, the Tribes of of Indians and the Washington State

Education Association.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I'm here today speaking on behalf of all students seeking degrees in higher education and hopefully will be able to provide accurate student insight on concerns and issues with the federal government's role in higher education. Student input fused with your expertise will be crucial in making this Commission's final report about the future of higher education a success. Agree or disagree, that is what I believe and I'm very certain that the millions of students pursuing college degrees will agree with me.

myself, I first generation am a college student from the rural community Quinault Indian Reservation and one of the few and only students from surrounding rural communities currently pursuing postsecondary education. community, access to higher education is not afforded to many due to the many different issues such as poverty, the lack of resources on the school's part and unwillingness of colleges to recruit in such a remote area.

One of the main issues I have come to advocate to you today is the fact that many people believe that higher education is accessible to everyone when really it isn't. If we're to make

ourselves believe higher education is accessible to everyone regardless of one's background, living arrangements, income status, and awareness of what it takes to pursue a college degree, they wouldn't be here trying to solve the problems of access to higher education and the many other issues affordability, quality, workforce, and accountability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The previous circumstances I mentioned are all things that rest outside of the individual's control and should not penalize a student's pursuance to higher education.

From a personal perspective, I'm part of the Native community at UW that makes up just one percent of the UW student body. That figure alone should illustrate that there is a serious gap in the accessibility of students to higher education and serious measures must be made to remedy this issue not only in Washington done but every other state under the Department of Education's jurisdiction.

There are many different issues and blockades that prevent people from obtaining college degrees. I do not have time to touch on them all. I am only one student from one tribe that is fortunate enough to stand before you today, but it is very important that you realize that myself and all of the other students present here today are not here for

ourselves or our own college or universities; we're here on behalf of all current students, would be students, and future students, the foundation and future leaders of this great country, each with different goals aspirations and a common interest to obtain success in higher education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Again, I'm only one person and it is real easy for me to stand here and complain about what things should be and should not be like. Ultimately it is your duty to produce the report on what the future of higher education should entail. So if you decide to remember anything that I have spoken here today, I urge you to remember this: Higher education must be accessible to all students and the federal government must take a larger role in this.

Larger meaning grant aid must be expanded. be made more manageable, and Loans must Department of Education must be allocated enough funds to handle all of the problems of higher education and should not have to stretch their budget to cover only certain problems where they feel it would do the most Your part in today's decisions will greatly good. impact the success of our great nation's future. America truly does have the best system of higher education in the world, then I challenge you to prove that to me and the millions of students in the higher

educational system. Education is a right. Recognize
the federal role. Thank you and I wish you all the
best.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 63.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MELISSA AAR: Hello. My name is Melissa Aar. I am a sophomore at the University of Washington. I'm double majoring in communication and comparative literature and I represent the Associated Students of the University of Washington as well as the Washington Public Interest Research Group.

I just wanted to tell my personal story and so that you can kind of have just a basic idea about how that affects some of the decisions that you are making. Growing up my family sat comfortably, barely comfortable, lower middle class range. We made enough to get by but not enough to save up for a college fund. I never thought would be a problem because I enjoyed my time in school. I did very well. And I always thought college was in my future. my parents just told me if I worked hard enough and got good grades and stayed active in my community, which I did, that I would just get enough grants and enough scholarships that that would cover for it. unfortunately, when I sent in my FAFA I didn't get one cent of student aid, nothing at all. To break down the numbers, the estimated cost for each year at the

IVW is over \$16,000 including all the approximated fees. My father earned approximately \$50,000 one year and he somehow supposed to contribute \$15,000 of that to go to my education. But I'm a dependent -- or I'm not a dependent. I moved out when I was 18. I cover everything on my own. So I've only seen about \$2,000 of that expected \$15,000, but that is something that the University can't take into account when they're calculating their aid.

I personally, I feel like I have done my part to live up to my part of the bargain. Last year I nannied so I could get free room and board. year I thought myself lucky again when I found a job that would pay me \$11.00 an hour to work 40 hours a week. But the problem with that is that the time commitment is so huge. I'm spending all of my time either at work or in school. It doesn't give me enough time to do things on campus that I would like to do otherwise, as far as volunteering with student government, working with the PIRGs, anything like that I often don't have that opportunity because I have so few days off per week and so little time, that I feel I have a lot to contribute to my community but I'm just not afforded the opportunity to do that, since I have to work to pay for everything.

To sum up, I know I speak for thousands of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

students when I say I'm already doing all I can to pay for my education, yet I'll still probably leave school with thousands of dollars in debt. I want to be a teacher when I grow up. It is probably not going to be a very attractive career opportunity when I'm looking at \$20,000 in debt and a low paying career field also.

The burden to cover the cost of education is increasingly being left up to us students, and the more time we're required to work these outside jobs like I do, the less time we'll have to spend learning both in the classroom and on campus.

It is disappointing that these resources are being wasted and students can't get the full value of their education. If this continues, the future of higher education will be bleak, and I feel the university experience will not be as worthwhile.

And the federal role is really important in all of this, as you know. It seems that students are becoming less and less of a priority and we are left just to figure out the problems on our own. I have been able to do that, but I know not everybody can. So, for instance, when you cut 12.7 billion dollars from student loan program, that is something that is hard to swallow.

So I just want to leave you with this

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

message, that we have worked hard to get where we are. We have worked hard to make it into these universities and now we're all working hard to stay there. And I think that it is time the federal government step up and meet that effort that we have done. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 64.

CATHERINE GIBBINS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, thank you for the opportunity today to include the public in these comments. I would like to address -- my name is Cathy Gibbins. And I'm a parent of two college students so I'm addressing you as a parent. I would like to speak about the issue of accessibility, in particular for students with learning, cognitive, and intellectual disabilities. Referring to the same program that was spoken about by Cynthia Johnson, the Venture program in Bellevue.

My daughter Anna is a student in this program. When she graduated from high school, she very much wanted to continue her education. She had the same dreams of going to college and getting a career as most of our youth do today. Having gone through the special education program in elementary and secondary school, she would not be able to keep up with the fast paced and usual teaching methods of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

typical college programs. To be successful she needed smaller classes, different ways of presenting the material, and different means of assessment.

The traditional option for her, at this point in her life, was to enroll in a transition program through our local school district. This program would involve job sampling and minimum wage jobs without continuation of academic classes. My husband and I, and our daughter, in particular, wanted something more. Did you know that poor social skills is the number one reason in our country for people being fired from their job?

What has this program done for daughter? She has expanded her computer skills, increased her critical thinking skill, her problem solving skill, all necessary in any job setting. science, She's learned about U.S. literature, advertising, nutrition, and current events. All of these are expanding her knowledge of the world around her and to aid her in becoming a responsible adult in our society, her ultimate goal.

But above all, she has gained self-confidence as a learner and self-confidence in relating to other people. She has became a more independent person with increased self-esteem by knowing that she's accomplishing something. This will

carry her a long way in reaching her goals of obtaining a good job and independence.

Why should we have programs for this population? In this period of concern about the future of the Social Security system, why wouldn't we want to do everything possible to decrease the number of citizens needing public assistance throughout their entire adult working lives? Why wouldn't we want all of our citizens to be able to have the opportunity to reach their full potential and be life-long learners?

We have all have heard the statement that the road out of poverty is through education. Programs such as Venture should be available not just to a small number of students in select areas of the country, but to all students in the United States. The barrier to achieving this is the thinking that this population cannot continue to learn and reach further potential beyond high school.

Looking back at the history of education in our country, it is a change in thinking regarding segments of our society that has brought about the great advancements in education. The first high school in America came to Boston in the 1820s for boys only. There was a time that girls were only expected to learn reading and writing, nothing more. Prudence Crandell, a Quaker, ran a school for Black girls in

Connecticut, but she was imprisoned after Connecticut passed a law in 1833 banning free education for Black children. There was very little education for Black Americans until after the Civil War. I use these examples just to show how our thinking has changed about education over the years.

The time has come now to change our thinking and attitude and make higher education accessible to students with learning, cognitive, and intellectual disabilities.

In closing, I read a quote on the web site, the education department in the introductory page about this Commission and it this just summed it up beautifully. So I would like to quote that: Throughout history America has answered the call to extend the process of higher education to more Americans. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 65.

ANNA HARNOIS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Anna Harnois, and I'm a student in the Venture program which is at Bellevue Community College. I have been in special ed from fifth grade to present. The Venture program is a college program that teaches you independence and skills to find and keep a job. The Venture program has changed my life

by building confidence and thinking skills, expanding my general knowledge and writing skills, and preparing me for a job.

My options after high school were quite limited because I didn't have all of the classes that I needed to go on to a four-year school or a two-year school. My options after high school were getting a job, and to be in a transition program at the local high school, which helps you find a job that you like.

The Venture program has had a good variety of classes that fall under these areas: Academics, social and life skills, and workforce development. I have taken classes in earth science, reading and writing, thinking skills, job skill resume writing, math, and personal finance.

The Venture program has done good things for me. This program has taught me skills to become independent when I live out on my own. It has given me the chance to receive a college education, and it has given me the chance to get a degree when I finish college. I think that this should be available to students throughout the United States.

There are several thoughts that I have about the Venture program. First, I feel this program will get me ready to get a job.

My second feeling is that the classes are

small, which is nice in my mind because the teachers are more available to help you if you should need it.

Finally, there is a good variety of classes.

In conclusion, I think that the Venture program is fabulous, and that it can be successful for years to come. I find this program to be great because I have gained memory skills, job skills, and good learning and reading strategies.

I feel that if I don't get a college education that I won't get a good job. I feel that without Venture, students with disabilities who want a college education don't have any chance of getting one if they can't get into a regular college program. The Venture program gives them a chance to receive a college education. Thank you for your time.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 66.

LAUREN ASHER: Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Lauren Asher, and I am the Associate Director of the Institute for College Access and Success.

I'm here to ask the Commission to focus on the issue of student loan debt. With grant aid frozen and cost outpacing family income, student debt is at record levels in the U.S. as I'm sure you know. About two-thirds of recent graduates now carry student

4 5

_ _

loans. Their average debt grew more than fifty percent after adjusting for inflation over the last ten years.

The number of students graduating from four-year schools with more than \$25,000 in debt has tripled. The new 6.8 percent interest rate that goes into effect this July will raise borrower payments by 20 percent over last year's. That can double the amount of interest borrowers end up paying over the life of a loan. With more students hitting federal loan limits, that means more private loans. Private loans rose by 30 percent between 2004 and 2005. They generally carry much higher interest rates than federal loans.

With loans a fact of life for most college students, that includes institutions where they traditionally turn to for low and moderate income families. In 2004, the typical debt of a public four-year school graduate was nearly \$18,000.

Students borrow because they believe in the value of higher education. It is something we want them to believe, and on average it is true. Most college graduates earn more than most high school graduates.

But student loans can be great investment, they're still not a guarantee. A shift in the economy, a family health crisis, even a major car

repair can turn student loan payments from manageable to unaffordable. Teachers, social workers, public health workers, often struggle just to cover the interest on their loan, let alone save for retirement, their own child's education, buy a house, or even start a family. When people fall behind on their payment, late fees and other penalties can lead to a lifetime of destructive debt, often many times over what was originally borrowed.

To encourage more people of limited means to go to college and to the school they're best suited to, we think we need to be able to assure students, assure them, that their education won't end up setting them back instead of helping them get ahead. That means making more grant aid available for those who can least afford the risk of student debt, and it means making loans safer and more affordable because even if tuition levels rise at much slower rates than they have in recent years, most students and families simply cannot afford to pay cash up front for college even at public schools.

What would make loans safer and more affordable? Clear, consistent repayment policies that take borrowers' earnings and family size into account with strong incentives to keep earning and making payments.

In the next few days our project on student debt will release a white paper describing the strengths and weaknesses of current payment policies and practical solutions and improvement. We look forward to sharing our findings with the Commission and with the public, and we hope that the future of higher education includes constructive limits on the

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 67.

burden of student debt. Thank you for your time.

MALCOLM HARNOIS: Good afternoon. My name is Malcolm Harnois, and I have absolutely no credentials for being before you other than I'm the father of Anna. I'm the last member of a full court press here on the bench program education for learning disabled children.

I'm here before you as a parent of a developmentally disabled daughter in her early 20's who has benefitted from an unusual and unique opportunity for postsecondary education. I want to share with you some thoughts about postsecondary education from a parent's perspective.

We have heard today from many who are anxious to provide current higher education for the increasing number of students presently deprived of educational opportunities and financial hardship or because of lack of social or family support. I wholly

concur. Colleges should address these deficiencies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I bring to you this afternoon a different situation. One, where my daughter is highly motivated and does not lack financial or social support but due to learning disabilities would have no place in public higher education system to turn to except for a single, unique, and exemplary program. This testimony is a plea that as part of the reformation of higher education system, a small amount of attention be devoted to Anna and others like her so that they are also included in our future planning.

Issues of accessibility and barriers that concern the Commission apply even more to special needs population. No where are these barriers higher postsecondary education. than in Historically, especially firm commitment to universal secondary education was instrumental in the development of a large and capable middle class in the United States. Failure to provide a similar commitment to a more educational universal postsecondary exacerbates current and healthy trends toward a bipolar society without such a middle class.

I am making this rather dry and academic observation because I don't want Anna to grow up in such a society. And she and I especially fear that she will be in the bottom bin of such a

to

desire

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

stratification.

continue her education as far as she's capable of. She has a keen sense that the same as many others, disabled or not, education is a key to a more successful future. The Venture program is uniquely enabling for her, and I emphasize uniquely because there is nothing else like it.

has

а

tenacious

Anna

Before I finish, I want to briefly comment on the financial and economic questions involved. The Venture program is expensive. The broad access implies commitment to financial aid. And this area some policy change may be required. For Anna, and thousands like her, continued educational opportunity is the key to reducing the chance that she winds up as a net welfare cost rather than net positive benefit.

However, I don't think we need to make this argument in terms of cost benefit ratios, public versus private financing, or free market arguments on supply and demand. In the 19th century we did not base our development of universal education on the economic balance sheet. We really didn't. We based it on a need for an informed and vital citizenry. We first saw a society where together we shared the cost of providing what was then a very high degree of education for everyone.

In the coming century we need to do the

developmentally

financial

1 same thing and increasingly K through 12 education 2 isn't disabled population in this 21st century citizen is 3 not acceptable. Remember, except for a fortunate few 4 5 of the young people in Anna's situation, postsecondary education of an academic nature is not currently 6 available no matter how great the student's motivation 7 8

sufficient.

matter

how

resources. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anna desperately and passionately wants the opportunity to continue her education. She is rightfully worried about her future prospects. She can and does continue to learn and progress. More like Anna deserve this chance. Thank you.

great

Excluding the

the student's

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: By the way, you have great credentials.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 68.

MELISSA WILLIAMSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. My name is Melissa Williamson and I come to you on behalf of the Office of International Education at the University of Washington. And I'm here today to ask you to focus in your examination of higher education in the US on the positive vital and enlightening trend of qlobal student mobility and international education.

> work at the UW, we strive

increase global student mobility by creating integrated transformative experience for you and your students both on and off UW main campuses by bringing international students both in to populate classroom and send their voices into the discussions and discourses of UW lecture halls and also by sending UW students out into the world to listen, see, and also send their voices of good will and cultural contact into the world.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A post 9/11 educational committee report on -- identified study abroad as an increasingly vital component to U.S. higher education. And UW has also identified the development of global programs health, education, affairs, business, languages, cetera as essential to its mission to become a more dynamic institution in the 21st century and beyond the Students who return from global century. experiences come back more conscious of world culture, issues, and events; have increased proficiency in world languages; are more collaborative and positive minded in creating solutions to complex problems; are more independent, mature, and self-sufficient; flexible in their thinking; and have a better understanding of the systems, attitudes, trends, needs, and direction of our modern global society.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So we would hope that you would bear in mind the importance of international education, initiative, and attempts to channel energy, policy, funding, and incentive programs into your One of the questions I note on the recommendations. front of the sheet says: How well are institutions of higher education preparing our students to compete in the new global economy? What better way to help them compete then to put them in touch with the world.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 69.

GARRETT HAVENS: Thank you Committee My name is Garrett Havens, and I am a senior members. Eastern Washington University and the legislative liaison. And I speak to you today on behalf of the Washington Student Lobby, a state-wide organization that represents all of the four-year institutions in the State of Washington.

The cost of education in the United States has increased substantially over the last couple of A common equation once used to determine if and when a potential student would be able to go to simply tuition plus school was financial aid. However, with the rising cost of education and the disproportional cost of federal lower and support, debt has became assumed addition to that According to the Nelmedic

graduating this year with baccalaureate degree is just below \$20,000 with an additional \$32,000 for graduate students. These costs equate to more than just money. Student debt serves as a barrier to access for many people. The amount of time that the average student wastes covering that debt is far more than simple financial numbers represented here. Education is the key to social mobility. If access is limited so too is one's opportunity to succeed in this society.

corporation, the average debt for college students

beyond the standard equation for determining where and if they can attend a specific institution. The average for an enrolled college student works 25 hours per week. In the past this was typically enough for most students to pay for their college education, or at least some of it. With the average student making only \$7.50 per hour, today they can hardly afford to cover their high cost of tuition let alone room and board. Yes, they would certainly make more money with a better degree, but these costs are deterrents to even allowing students to pursue higher education in the first place.

The most troubling part about these numbers is that the amount of hours students are working are not conducive to a proper and healthy

education. Most college courses recommend that you spend two hours per day studying for every hour of class you attend. To work 25 hours a week while committing oneself to school leads to lower performance levels in the classroom. The Journal of Financial Aid found that students that work more than ten hours per week earn lower grades than those who work ten hours or less a week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

While people go to college, they should primarily be focusing on their studies. Once a student has to subsidize their education by working more than ten hours per week, the system begins to work against them and local and state government ends up having to invest more and more money into the system. Meanwhile, students are increasingly finishing their degrees in five, six, and even seven years just to make ends meet.

The Washington Student Lobby believes that federal grants are a necessary component to addressing this concern, though we maintain that the best form of financial aid is simply lower tuition. The dynamics of federal grants are such that every dollar that the federal government invests into higher education ends up benefiting the state and local governments two-fold, while investments in research has returns of up to \$10.00 for every \$1.00 that is invested.

First, these grants allow for students to pay off their various cost in regards to higher education, which means that they can invest more time in their studies.

Second, local economies are able to benefit because when students graduate, they're able to invest their money in items such as a car or a house rather than having to pay off their state or federal loans.

In conclusion, education today is simply thought of as an individual good. Our forefathers, however, recognized that education was a social good and essential for success in society. Education is key to our future as a nation. The more money that the federal government is able to invest in higher education, the more they are investing in our country.

On behalf of the Washington Student Lobby,

I thank you for taking the time to listen to the

plight facing students and searching for a solution

for this dilemma.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 70.

KATHRYN GYMANN: Hello, I would like to thank you for convening here in Seattle at this essential hearing on how to make college more affordable. As I wanted to tell you in my notes or in my speech -- there is a little mishap. And that was

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

before I walked down the hill or up the hill a block And that was, I do not attend the University of Oregon, but I attend Lane Community College, which is one of the top five community colleges in the nation.

My name is Kathryn Gymann (phonetic) and I am here on behalf of not only the students of Lane Community College, which I attend, but for students across the nation to have a voice that is heard. the voice that needs to be heard is that of students trying to gain a higher education while trying with all of their might not to incur student debt through student loans.

Also, the realization that student aid is evaporating before our eyes. With the cuts that were made in the past few weeks, student aid is not an option now for a lot of people. We cannot snap our fingers and make this problem go away, just to say it is done, it is over, let's fix it, and get it done tonight even.

But we can, however, protect our education by investing more grant and aid and cheaper loans for college students, and by also making that a priority for students to know that public scholarships are available for them out there.

allow the students Ιt would of this generation to no longer be the deepest and most debted

generation that our country has ever seen. Sixty-five percent of graduating students have loan debt. And more than 60 percent of the fastest growing jobs require that at least some postsecondary education is needed. It has to be in there.

Economically, knowledge-based jobs relying on a college education is up to 33 percent. I love going to school. I do not receive financial aid, but I want to go to school so I can make a difference and I know other people who have the same dreams. We work jobs so we can go to school and make sure that we can attain our goals.

Most people deserve, no, they have the right of a higher education. I hope, wish, and fight for those who cannot receive that help so that they may. If our next generation will be my leaders, my leaders, I want them to have the right and power to learn and know how to be able to lead us into the future.

Thank you so much for your time.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 71.

ANNE GROUNDWATER: Hi. I'm Anne Groundwater. I attend the University of Oregon and I'm here to represent our student body. College is about receiving a quality education that will further your career and teach you more about the world. But

scores of students never pursue a college education or do not pursue their choice career because they're confined by the burdens of debt. Many University of Oregon students focus on their jobs to keep their debt in check instead of focusing on receiving a valuable education. Their grades suffer, and some even drop out because they cannot balance paying bills and going to school. College is about learning, not working two jobs to pay for classes.

At the University of Oregon the average student graduates with \$18,000 in debt. This \$18,000 goes into not being able to put a down payment on a house, or for some of the more ambitious students, not taking jobs in Africa or teaching in poor counties.

I'm scared in three years, if I'm average,
I'm going to have \$18,000 in debt. And that is
average. What if I'm above average? I could have
\$30,000 in debt, \$40,000, or maybe even more. What
decisions am I going to have to make that affect my
future? What things am I going to have to give up?
What opportunities will pass me by because I leave
college paying thousands of dollars plus interest?
Will I have to sacrifice my hopes and dreams? Will I
have to tell my kids we're living in an apartment
because I'm still paying for college ten years after I
graduate?

My peers and I should not have to chose careers and colleges because of the debt we will accumulate. I have seen many students opt out of their top choice school or drop out because they couldn't afford to pay for their education. We need more federal funding for student financial aid so that

students graduate with smaller debt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Your final report should include recommendations that increase grant aid and loan aid at the federal level. Cutting funding won't solve our financial deficit, but it will harm the students of our future. Thank you much.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 72.

NATHANIEL ASHLOCK: Thank you all holding this hearing. My name is Nathaniel Ashlock, attend Evergreen State College and I'm a and I sophomore. If I continue at my current rate, I will have accumulated \$21,684 of federal debt for only three years of public undergraduate education by the time I graduate. To that I must add approximately \$7,500 of debt for a single semester of a private This means that by the time I complete my school. undergraduate studies, I'll have accumulated nearly \$30,000 of debt in federal loans. This is unfortunate not only for me but also for the businesses who will lose out on nearly \$30,000 worth of patronage from me.

8

10

11

12

22 23

19

20

21

2425

26

I would like to contribute to the economy in future to support my fellow countrymen, but unfortunately I will be spending a large portion of whatever income I have for ten years paying back the government instead. That is a tremendous amount of lost business when considering on a scale of a nation in-debt graduates full of debt, of former and students.

I consider teaching to be one noblest professions. Many of the greatest philosophers and leaders throughout world history were teachers. What kind of life would I live as teacher in modern America with all of that debt? Would it be a dignified life or a life of struggle trying to make ends meet each month as I try to earn my financial freedom? I fear I would be forced into the life of If I am going to struggle, unnecessary struggle. shouldn't it be fighting for justice of all people instead of my financial independence? Thank you much.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 73.

NICKALOUS REYKDAHL: Thank you so much for this opportunity to get together. For the record, my name is Nickalous Reykdahl. I'm a student at Central Washington University majoring in elementary education with emphasis in teaching middle school math, science. However, due to financial circumstances, i.e., student

debt, I have had to prolong my graduation in order to make ends meet. I am serving as the student legislative liaison for my peers at Central Washington University in Olympia, taking a quarter off from school in order to pay off overwhelming debt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I come before this Commission today to testify not on behalf of Central students but for all those middle income households who find it ever more difficult to secure funding for higher education for their families. My father graduated from Central with an education degree and over a decade later was finally able to pay back his student debt.

During this time, I was preparing to go to In light of the fact that he had just paid college. off his own student debt, he was facing the fact that he was going to have to go down that road once again. Current statute defines financial need as the amount of of attendance minus expected cost family contribution. Federal law decrees that the EFC calculated through worksheets provided by financial aid provider at U.S. Department of Education.

This is where the problem begins. As an educator, my father makes a modest income. He makes a little more than the \$49,999 amount required for the simplified EFC worksheet. After filing the numerous documents in order to receive aid, last year the EFC

for my family was nearly the amount that it cost for one year of tuition. That meant that after borrowing the maximum in federal aid, my father was responsible for the extra \$10,000 plus dollars in order for me to This amount is nearly 20 percent of his go to school. annual income. This doesn't include other valuable such as grocery money, transportation cost, costs bills, and other necessities that any other college student can attest to. The EFC is becoming a barrier to higher education due to economic constraints that once were not as high as they are now. Tuition and textbook costs have grown faster than inflation and are becoming a dire concern among college students. Last quarter I spent \$260.00 on textbooks out of my own pocket because financial aid would not cover it. This is just the tip of the iceberg. The real cost of attending a university isn't as simple as one might think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Tuition is not the only price a college student is required to pay. In order to go to school, one must have a place to call home, have a way to go back and forth from there, make time for studies, and still have time for a social life. Now throw in the fact that most students now like myself have to work anywhere from five to 20 plus hours a week in order to have extra money to pay for such basic needs as food,

heat, and water.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

If I may, I would like to give you a sample day from my college career. From 9:00 in the morning to 11:00 at night I attend four lectures, eat lunch in between classes, hustle to my first job, run to an extracurricular event where I need to leave early to work at a second job, before finally come home late at night to work on my homework. This is a typical day for me.

Since my father isn't able to provide all of the financial support I need, I have been forced not to work just one job, but a number of them in order to survive. I have had to borrow money from private lenders in order to pay for various other expenses.

Every day I wonder if this hard work will ever pay off and I'll ever surmount the mountainous debt that I'm incurring in order to graduate from college. I know that once I'm a part of the U.S. workforce my hard earned time will enable me to be a productive citizen of this great country.

However, I feel that all of the strife shouldn't be a burden for the students of the future We need to realize the importance of higher to bear. education allow for increased to access and affordability and most significantly the

accountability of the government to ensure the United State's position as a land of freedom and to ensure promise for successful competition in the academic word. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 75.

ASHLEY MILLER: My name is Ashley Miller.

I'm a senior at the University of Washington, the

Jackson School of International Studies as well as the

Vice President of the Associated Students, University

of Washington.

And I would like to begin today by recognizing what a very, very fortunate young woman I am because as a recipient of a mixture of subsidized and unsubsidized federal Stafford loans, I will graduate with \$16,000 in debt in the spring. While I cannot deny that that number is daunting to me, I know for many students like myself it could be a deterrent to higher education at all.

Second, I would really like to share the importance those loans have played in my life. My first two years at the University of Washington I didn't take out any financial aid and instead worked 35 to 40 hours of work as a waitress at Red Robin, while keeping a full schedule, juggling class work, as well as working.

When I became a junior I was eligible for

1 financial aid and it basically changed my life. 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

the opportunity to become involved in a variety of different student and community organizations. built real relationships with my community members. Ι learned public speaking skills, media skills, and it really prepared me for where I am today as a vice president of the university.

The lessons I have learned outside of the classroom have become invaluable to me. frightens me that so many students do not have those opportunities because they are working full time. hope that the stories you have heard today can really highlight the importance of the federal role and as tuition continues to increase and financial aid is threatened I would like to encourage you to expand grants and help us to keep loans manageable. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 75.

KEN SANDIN: My name is Ken Sandin. First of all I want to thank you for allowing us to speak here today. I'm a junior at the University of Washington and I'm speaking on behalf of students who are going to school so that they can eventually work for the public interest and better the community.

The current cuts of the financial aid will have a personal effect on me and my family's lives,

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

financial pressure of college. Just last year my academics were directly affected by the fact I was unable to purchase all of my necessary textbooks until the end of quarter. This is when I was working 25 hours a week. I went to class, borrowed books whenever possible, but wasn't able to afford the nearly \$200.00 for my books until right before my finals. Needles to say, this required a great amount of cramming to pass my test.

The financial state of my younger brother, however, will be much worse as my family will need to pay for his education almost solely on loans. My entire purpose for attending school is I want to become an English high school teacher. I feel I have a lot to offer and I wish to better the community by inspiring students to achieve their full potential. It is not a high paying job, but I feel my contribution to society will outweigh this. I do not want to be punished with trouble paying loans because of my future choices.

In short, loans need to be made more manageable especially for people who come from low income family; or especially in my case, people who intend to work for the public interest and inspire future generations to come. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 76.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JAYME RABENBERG: Thank you Commissioner.

is Jayme Rabenberg and I am here today Мy representing the Oregon Student Association. state-wide association that represents more than 100,000 higher education students across Oregon. We have nine member campuses including seven public universities, Oregon Health Science University, Lane Community College. We have over 30 years of experience representing students across the state. part of the United States University And now Association, which is lobbying for students since 1947.

Over the next few months you will be responsible for developing strategies for higher education that will meet the needs of the United States. Higher education represents the future of this country: Economically, politically, socially, and culturally. You will be playing a vital part by building and creating recommendations that strengthen and provide increasing access to postsecondary education.

We have entered an era where some sort of postsecondary education is required for most professions, and yet we face a time when the price of higher education is becoming increasingly prohibitive. Soaring price tags may shut many students out of an

education while creating a generation of debt of those that attend.

The United States has always been a land of opportunity. Yet with sky rocketing costs of higher education and inadequate financial assistance we're closing opportunity's door for many lower and middle class Americans. Access to affordable, quality, higher education is essential if we are to continue to lead the world in areas such as business, education, health care, and innovation.

Today nearly 200,000 qualified high school graduates forgo college every year because of the cost. And of those who do go to college, nearly two-thirds are required to work at least part time to cover their expenses.

The federal government must recognize the role they are playing in producing a generation of debt. Seventy-five percent of financial aid comes from the federal government and has not kept pace with the rising college costs. While a student could once expect to receive 80 percent of financial aid in the form of grants, today the average student receives only 20 percent in grants and 80 percent comes from loans. The average PELL grant is worth only half of what it was 20 years ago.

And the average student now graduates with

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

8

9

7

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

approximately \$18,000 in debt. This loan burden discourages graduates from seeking lower paying teaching, social work, professions such as non-profit work which give back to the community and are of such great need. It also forces many graduates from buying cars, houses, and other major expenses until much later in life which is damaging to the economy.

On a personal note, I'm one of those 39 percent of student graduates with unmanageable debt. With over \$100,000 in debt after four years of undergraduate and three years of graduate school, I am going to be leaving my job in a non-profit on Friday because my modest salary won't cover my loans.

Thank you for creating this Essential Dialogue and please remember the burden that students are facing at the moment. Federal investment in higher education is crucial, and we hope that your final report include policy recommendations for increasing grants and more manageable loans. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 77. Number 78.

DENISE YOCHUM: Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, thank you for taking this opportunity to listen to us today to see how you can impact higher education in a positive way. My name is

Denise Yochum. I am president of Pierce College Port Stillicum, State of Washington and I am also a doctoral student at Gonzaga University also in the State of Washington. I would like to also speak on behalf of Dr. Kenneth Hatcher, who is the president of Pierce College in Puyallup in the State of Washington.

Today you talked about four major issues that you wanted us to comment on. That was access, accountability; you talked about some of those and I will get to those in a minute. But let me start with access.

First of all, I would like you, when you are making policy, to recognize that the mission of higher education is very complex and very broad and when you're making policy please recognize that the mission of a community college, for example, and the mission of the flagship University of Washington, for example, are completely different missions and one policy will not fit all. If you were to act broad based social policies with socioeconomic impact, you must not lose sight of the fact that access is very critical, but it means different things to different institutions.

Secondly, we talked a lot about accountability and what that means to higher education and how we become accountable. You also raised that

quite frequently this morning with the term quality.

And I want to just be very specific to say that accountability does not always equal quality. So would you please be really specific in your policies to ask for specific outcomes and let higher education be innovative and bold in the way we solve problems.

So if you can recognize for us what you think the problems in higher education are, give us the outcome that you want, but don't prescribe to us the way to get there. That is what we do best.

The third thing that you talked about a lot was efficiency, and that was also paired with less cost. And in some models economically that is how they always go together.

In higher education that is not always the case, and let me explain why. When you are moving students from one place in the system to some place else in the system, you have to look at what your inputs are and you also look at what your outputs or what your product is or what value is added. They don't engage in the same place for all institutions.

So for us to be more efficient, for example, we need more money to put into student services to provide support systems for those students. We need more money in student financial aid so those students are not working, they're actively

_

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

pursuing their education and they're actually moving through the system in a more effective, efficient way getting to goals and getting to outcome. So please be really careful about how you put those two together for us.

We're doing some great work in the State of Washington on major ready pathways making sure that students, you heard this earlier, are picking pathways earlier and we are making sure they're not duplicating efforts in terms of class work and courses. We're looking at transferability to make sure that that happens. Workforce needs, community colleges need to have that availability to turn on a dime, to respond to industry, to have that seed money there, to have that available. But that is, again, a higher cost sociology class, for example, that а the technology is much different.

The Commission asked a question this morning to one of my colleagues about charging the full cost of tuition to all students and then increasing financial aid. I have a very different response than my esteemed colleague Dr. Mitchell had. And that is that this would work, I think, to decrease access to higher education and let me tell you why.

I think this would actively discourage

parents and students because of the sticker shock of the value when they hear what full tuition cost is.

We have a really hard time in the community college system as it is getting information out to students and parents that we're affordable even now. If we tell them what the full cost of tuition is and then they don't understand that we're also increasing financial aid to get to those costs, we risk, we run the risk of really closing access to higher education at the most basic level for community college students. So I ask you to think about that.

There are three policy areas that I would like to speak to really quickly: The need for low interest student financial aid. The rates that they're quoting right now are my mortgage rates, and that is pretty high for a student loan.

Secondly, the need for financial aid for part time students, that was also mentioned earlier, is very critical for working adults and working students, even at the university level.

And finally, I'll be really quick, loan forgiveness program is one bold innovative thing which you really might consider in terms of having more educated teachers, having students in math and science fields, having students in the allied health fields. Loan forgiveness is one way to be able to assure

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

students that if they go into these public policy role, or if they go into public service role, that they will still be able to afford a lifestyle that is important for them and they are not going to be punished for that. So I would say look for incentives rather than punishment. Thank you for your time.

> VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 79. Number 80.

TYLER DALKIN. Hello. My name is Tyler Dalkin (phonetic). I'm the legislative coordinator at the Associated Student University of Washington. First of all, I would like to thank you for this opportunity being here and hearing everything about higher education and debt and all of that.

As you know, students are pretty much facing higher burdens of costs for higher education and basically forced to take on work loads of job, not only school work but also trying to get a leg up in their careers by taking internships and so forth.

Basically, we have talked about this high cost of postsecondary education but at the moment universities are actually doing a high tuition model, many of you are familiar with and actually increasing Previously spoke about the cost even more. accessibility to education by implementing this model but -- and you have also heard of all of the problems nowadays. Right now it is status quo. And I believe

and many students believe that changing this model could present more problems in the -- make even more of a need for some sort of education support.

Just real quick, I want to give you some facts that are happening at the University of Washington. Just over the past ten years we've seen increase of tuition over 78 percent. Students right now that are out of state are actually paying over 100 percent of the cost of tuition at the University Of Washington.

Additionally, like Melissa said, we're facing around \$16,000 approximated cost. And the PELL grants are averaging less than \$2,500. So even the student aid that we are getting right now is a drop in the bucket compared to what, essentially, we have to pay over all.

Also, say that one dollar invested in higher education brings a \$10.00 output in the State of Washington, so essentially a great investment in the economy.

And basically -- also I want to bring up another issue about diversity. And with regards to diversity, the United States right now, as you know, is the most ethnically diverse country in the world. But at the moment, proportions of students at our college campus and universities do not reflect

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

proportions in the general population, and that is definitely a huge concern.

We've seen decreases in funding for minority recruitment outreach programs, and that is definitely an issue that we need to make sure that we take care of in the future.

So just, in short, we must understand the importance of higher education on the individual economy and the society as a whole. And if we do not create opportunities and educate the students of America then we're going to be paying for them in the future. Thank you.

VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 81.

YOUNG PUSH: Young Push (phonetic) actually representing for night studies, University of Washington, just the whole 2007 class. I would like to thank you all for this opportunity sincerely. Currently a student recipient of federal/state financial aid and very appreciative.

We're all interconnected whether we like it or not. Your children's future and my children's future are one and the same. Gentleman earlier commented there are many people not make it through high school much less college. And in a nation where increasingly more and more citizens are being marginalized and dissolutioned from social and

political avenues necessary in facilitating a healthy and successful functioning democratic society where alarming numbers of people of color are being sheep herded in the prison industrial complex and disheartening alternative. should concern Ιt any educated and governing person of conscious who professes to serve the principals we at least in theory upheld as a valuable cornerstone of the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I personally believe we as a nation need more than federal and state institutional aid. The job is not you all alone. We need massive elevation of human thought over standing in many levels of development utilizing many tools available: Media, television, radio, internet, especially old fashion person-to-person word-of-mouth, community interaction. But you all are in a unique position and a position you should be very proud of. You have the opportunity to take a historical stance and spearhead this next movement, evolution to our future by symbolically and concretely financing and activating the direct and immediate change, real change.

Please think deeply and critically about your fellow citizens and your grandchildren. This is what we ask that you recognize the federal role, your role, in decisively effectively creating a better more

just informed world. Thank you very much.

VICKIE SCHRAY: There were three individuals that did not come forward when called. This is going to be the last call as it were. Number 41.

JESSICA TWEEDY: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is Jess Tweedy and I am a senior at the Evergreen State College in Olympia, Washington. And today I'm coming to you also being a student that has been working to make sure student aid wasn't cut, but also a student using student aid right now.

When I graduated high school I actually had spent two years with -- in Washington State we have a program where high school students can go to college for free, and I did that for two years because I knew that the cost of higher education was going to be hard on my family. But I made it through those two years and then transferred to Evergreen State College where I didn't need financial aid when I started, when I first started there.

But two years ago my mom got breast cancer and so I had to start using the system. And I either had a choice at that point either to drop out of school and be like one of those thousands of high school students aren't able to because of their financial need, or being a student who is going to take those loans and figure out way after I graduate,

1 not think about it until then. And now this is six 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months until I'm actually going to go and on to a job. Now I'm starting to think about it and realize I have \$20,000 in loans that I have to pay back. I also have grants too.

So my thing is I'm going into a career service. plan on working with Ι а non-profit organization, helping people have voice in а That is really what is important to me. democracy. And right now looking at that, I will have as much loan debt as I'll be paid a year. So that is going to be really an incredibly hard thing for me, but now with the new cuts to the budget I'm going to -actually my little sister actually goes to college next year, and she's going to have the same thing happening and so I'm looking at the generations after me so they're able to have an education like I did.

And what I'm asking you today is to increase the funding for grants because that is the reason that I feel that I can keep on going because I have probably closer to \$30,000 in loans if I hadn't had the grant program. And then I really would have felt that I needed to have a job that I was not doing what my real passion is. So that is what I ask for you guys. Thank you.

> VICKIE SCHRAY: Number 52. Number 77.

And finally Number 79.

CHAIRMAN STEPHENS: Ladies and gentlemen, this brings to closure our public hearing this afternoon. I would like to thank you all on behalf of the Commission, the Secretary for your perspective, your recommendations, and most importantly your passion from what you have spoken and brought thoughts and ideas to the Commission. You can imagine the challenge we have with all of the perspectives going forward.

We're about a third of the way through our activities. Continue to gather information as we continue. As I mentioned earlier, our report is due to the Secretary in early August of later this year. So look forward to continuing involvement and we will continue to do our work and hopefully provide a product that is not only in support of the Secretary but support of the nation and many of your ideas relative to higher education.

Our meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

[Whereupon the above-entitled matter was concluded at 3:12 p.m.]